BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Application No. $40(T_{HC})$ of 2013

And

Application No. 34(T_{HC}) of 2013

And

Original Application No. $36(T_{HC})$ of 2013

And

Application No. $21(T_{HC})$ of 2013

IN THE MATTER OF:

People for Transparency Through Kamal Anand

Vs.

State of Punjab & Ors.

And

Pathankot Welfare Society Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.

And

Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.

And

Davinder Kumar Vs. Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. D. K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. G. K. PANDEY, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Appearance in Application

No. 40(T_{HC}) of 2013

Applicant Appearance not given Respondent No. 1: Mr. Anil Soni, Advocate

Respondent No. 4: Mr. P. N. Puri, Advocate and Ms. Reeta

Puri, Advocate

Respondent No. 5: Mr. A. R. Takkar, Advocate, Ms. Gurinderjit

Advocate and Ms. Saumya Jain, Advocate

Application No. 34(T_{HC}) of

2013

Applicant Appearance not given

Respondent No. 1: Mr. Anil Soni, Advocate, Mr. Bala Murugan,

Secretary, Local Govt.

Respondent No. 4: Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate with Ms. Reeta

Puri, Advocate

Respondent No. 5 & 6: Mr. A. R. Takkar, Advocate, Ms. Gurinderjit

Advocate and Ms. Saumya Jain, Advocate

Application No. 36(T_{HC}) of

2013

Applicant Mr. Rajinder Singh, Advocate and Mr. J. K.

Sharma, Advocate

Respondent No. 1: Mr. Anil Soni, Advocate

Respondent No. 4: Mr. A. R. Takkar, Advocate, Ms. Gurinderjit

Advocate and Ms. Saumya Jain, Advocate

Respondent No. 5: Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate with Ms. Reeta

Puri, Advocate

Application No. 21(T_{HC}) of

2013

Applicant

Respondent No. 1: Ms. Neelam Rathore, Advocate

Respondent No. 3: Mr. Rajkumar, Advocate

Respondent No 8 & 9: Mr. A. R. Takkar, Advocate, Ms. Gurinderjit

Advocate and Ms. Saumya Jain, Advocate

Respondent No 11& 12:

Mr. Shubham Bhalla, Advocate Mr. Manjit Singh, Advocate

Respondent No 12 to 16: Mr. Ma

Mr. Anil Soni, Adovate

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
	The Secretary of Local Government, Punjab, the Executive Officer of the Municipal Council Mandi Gobin and Municipal Council Sangrur, and Commissioner cum Deputy Commissioner of Municipal Corporation, Pathankot are present in person. After hearing the learned counsel appearing for the parties as well as the Senior Officers present before the Tribunal. We must notice that the Government of Punjab primarily appears to be interested in resolving issue of hazards of municipal waste collection and disposal. It is stated that the matter is being examined at highest level and a plan is under preparation. Learned counsel appearing for Pollution Control Board brings to our notice that similar stand was also taken before the Hon'ble High Court and the High Court had directed taking of short term measures which have not been taken till date in its entirety, and the matter lingers at the planning stage. Be that as it may. We direct all the public
MATHOR!	not been taken till date in its entirety, and the matter lingers at the planning stage. Be that as it may. We direct all the public Authorities of various districts of Punjab and the Government of Punjab that they shall ensure that the municipal waste is not dumped on road sides and they would take all precautionary as well as punitive

every week upon such collection. These shall be short

term measure which should be taken forthwith without

any further delay by all the public Authorities in various districts of State of Punjab.

The Secretary will ensure that all the public Authorities carry out these short term measures without delay and default. He will be at liberty to bring to the notice of the Tribunal any defaulting body, its Officers who then shall be dealt in accordance with law. While establishing such sites, the Pollution Control Board shall without delay issue consent and/or authorization order with appropriate conditions in accordance with law. We make it clear that no matter will be kept pending by the Pollution Control Board beyond the period of two weeks.

The long term planning would include establishment of segregation plants and taking of measures and methodology by which the waste so collected shall be disposed of. Let the complete technical plan including proposal for incinerator with possible financial implications be placed before the Tribunal on the next date of hearing. All concerned shall cooperate fully with the Secretary concerned to ensure that the above orders are complied with, and the plan is prepared and implemented with further directions of the Tribunal.

Once the plan is approved, the Pollution Control Board will ensure grant of its consent subject to such conditions at it deemed proper and in accordance with law under the Air, Water and Environment Protection Act.

We reiterate that in the meanwhile, removal of municipal waste from street and respective roads shall be carried out and the Corporations shall comply with the orders herein without any further delay. Removal of such waste shall be done with covered trucks and no open burning of municipal waste should be effected at the sites in question.

List on 23rd July, 2013 as prayed, when the Secretary to be present in Court along with the other Officers.

Liberty to the learned counsel appearing for the parties to file Affidavits, if any, in the Registry during the course of the day.

This order with all its rigor shall apply to the State of Haryana as well as UT Chandigarh.

(Swatanter Kumar)
,JM (U.D. Salvi)
,EM (Dr. D. K. Agrawal)
,EM (Dr. G.K. Pandey)
,EM (Prof. A. R. Yousuf)