

An Assessment of Organic and Inorganic Vegetable Farming in Benue Valley of North Central Nigeria (Implication For Agricultural Educators)

O. N. Agbulu¹ and E. E. Idu²

*1. Agricultural and Science Education Department, University of Agriculture, Makurdi
Benue State, Nigeria*

*2. Department of Agricultural Extension and Communication, University of Agriculture,
Makurdi, Benue State, Nigerias
E-mail: edwinidu@yahoo.co.uk*

KEYWORDS Biodigester. Organic Manure. Inorganic Manure. Biol. Biosol. Local Fabrication

ABSTRACT Benue Valley of North Central Nigeria is basically an agrarian zone with about 95% of her inhabitants engaged in agricultural businesses. This study assessed the performance of organic vegetable growers who utilized locally fabricated Biodigester along the river bank and inorganic vegetable growers who used chemical fertilizers on the high plain of Benue Valley. The study assessed vegetable production matrix of about 500 vegetable growers, using structured questionnaire and oral interviews. Their responses were analyzed through simple percentage, standard deviation and t-test. The results showed that there were vegetables of various kinds in the open market throughout the year, the result also revealed that the living standards of about 85% vegetable growers were enhanced significantly; especially the rural poor who basically practice organic vegetable farming. It was recommended that further endogenous practices be sought to geometrically enhance and sustain vegetable growers financially and nutritionally.

INTRODUCTION

More than 75 percent of Nigeria's population depends on agriculture. Agricultural potential is highest in the Benue Valley of North Central Nigeria with an annual rainfall from 1600 to 2500 mm per year and high fertile soil capable of accommodating variety of crops (Agbulu and Ekele, 2004).

The organic vegetable farmers at the Benue River Bank used locally fabricated Biodigester similar to the 'stomach model's of Peru and Colombia in 1970s and 1990s respectively. This endogenous biodigester produces by-products of the anaerobic decomposition, which is a conglomerate of liquid and solid fractions called *biol* and *biosol* respectively. *Biol* and *Biosol* are excellent fertilizers for a variety of crops, especially vegetables. Gomero and Valesquez (2000) reported that a small biodigester is enough to produce manure for the sustenance of crops through the growing periods. Endogenous biodigester used by the organic vegetable growers is simple, less expensive and materials needed are available locally.

The endogenous biodigester is made from a tabular polythene sheet of good quality. The tabular sheet, with a minimum length of five

meters, is placed on a flat surface. Both ends are closed around two PVC papers (40 cm long, with a diameter of 10 cm) with rubber strings made of an old inner tube.

A plastic soda bottle (1.5 litres) is then cut in half and each of the halves are inserted and glued into the respective PVC pipes, closing one and leaving a small opening in the other. Before closing the Biodigester, farmers fill it with equal amounts of water and manure from cattle or other ruminants. It is then closed and allowed to ferment for two to three months. The gas that builds up is released by opening the screw lid of the top half bottle. This biodigester can produce up to 250 litres of liquid fertilizer every three months, depending on the climatic conditions in the area (RAAA, 2004).

The relevance of Biodigester in the Farmer Field location lies on its useability, accessibility and affordability from local materials. Using it, the rural poor can easily modify the nutrient content of the liquid fertilizer, for example by adding chopped alfalfa, fish entrails, marine seaweed or human urine to the Biodigester. The ready made *Biol* can also be enriched with mineral salts to provide additional nutrients to crops or for other purposes. For example, copper sulphate can be added to the liquid fertilizer to control diseases such as leaf rust.

For effective utilization of boil and biosol, the concentrated boil has to be diluted by mixing four (4) litres of liquid fertilizer with 10 litres of water. After carefully sieving to avoid the clogging of the spraying nozzle, boil is applied with a black pack sprayer. The applicant can be directed to the foliage, the soil, seed and roots. Between three and five applications are required during the vegetative development of the plants. Biol can be applied to the irrigation just as one would apply compost.

Vegetable is a name given to any edible part of plant, particularly the herbaceous garden plants. These plants can either be annual or perennials. Other assorted vegetables include: Pepper, tomato, okro, green amaranthus, fluted bumpkin and telfaria. Vegetables are daily soup requirements, basically, for nourishment and maintenance of balance diet. Vegetable is a primary agricultural produce available in the market throughout the year. Vegetables specifically grown at the Benue Valley encompasses *Amaranthus spinosus* and fluted pumpkin.

The crux of this study lies on the conflict situation in the open market in respect of vegetables that abound. It is not definite to assert the producers - Besides, no study has actually pinpointed the group that is responsible for the all year round supply of vegetables in the open – market. Could it be the organic vegetable growers or the inorganic vegetable growers? The study is specifically determined to: identify organic and inorganic vegetable growers; production activities of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers; types of vegetables grown; sources of inputs; problems affecting organic and inorganic vegetable growers, benefits from organic and inorganic vegetable farming.

Research Questions: The following questions will be addressed in this study:

1. What are the characteristics of organic and inorganic vegetable growers?
2. What are the production activities of organic and inorganic vegetable growers?
3. What are the types of vegetables grown by the organic and inorganic vegetable farmers?
4. What are their sources of inputs?
5. What are the problems affecting both organic and inorganic vegetable farmers.?
6. What are the benefits from organic and inorganic vegetable farming?

Hypotheses: Tested at 0.05 level of significance.

There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers on the production activities of vegetables.

There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers on the type of vegetables grown.

There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers on the sources of inputs.

There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers on the problems affecting their operational activities.

METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Benue State, in the North-Central Nigeria. Benue Valley. At the Bank of River Benue, the organic vegetable farmers occupy a strip from Wadata down to Agasha. These farmers utilize Biol and Biosol manures specifically for the cultivation of *Amaranthus spinosus* and Fluted pumpkin. On the high plain of Benue Valley, the inorganic vegetable farmers utilize chemical fertilizer (Nitrogenous fertilizer) for the cultivation of *Amaranthus Spinosus*, fluted pumpkin and egg plants.

Benue Valley is the “Food basket” of the National and inherent are farmers who specialized in yam production, soya-beans, maize, Rice, Vegetables and host of other agricultural produce. Specifically, along the Bank of River Benue are the organic vegetable growers while at the high plain are the inorganic vegetable farmers. Biodigester made from polythene sheet is practically patronized by the peasants and rural poor in the chosen farmer field location. This category of farmers adopted the Colombia model made of a plastic barrel with a capacity of 250 litres. This has a long life-span and cannot be damaged by animals easily. It does not constitute wastage on the farm, unlike, the polythene sheet that has short life-span and often damaged by animals. The barrel is easy to handle and a total of 100 litres of liquid fertilizer can be obtained every two to three months.

The Biol produced contains many essential elements for plant growth, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium. It also contains plant growth regulators such as auxin and gibberelin, as well as other substances that stimulate plant development. Both Biol and

Biosol favour rooting, rotting development of foliage and flowering, and active seed germination.

For this study, the Biodigester used was fabricated using the following materials:

- a plastic funnel
- 200 litres of plastic drum.
- Short length of PVC pipe

The 200 litres of plastic drum has a curved shape funnel. The funnel is an inverted one. The PVC pipe for inlet of manure mixed with water is pierced through the inverted funnel and firmly sealed. The inverted funnel is an outlet for gas that escapes from the fermenting manure. The plastic drum must carry inlet pipe below the liquid surface so that gas is not prematurely released. The contents are the same with the 'stomach model' of Peru. Appendix 1 is a typical illustration of a Biodigester.

One thousand (1000) vegetable farmers (organic and inorganic) were purposely sampled from two thousand and forty farmers at the River Bank and on the high plain respectively. This translates to five hundred farmers (500) purposively selected from each group.

Structured questionnaire and oral interviews were utilized in getting responses from the respondents. Research assistants were also employed for proper co-ordination and administration of research instrument using vernacular. Data collected was analysed using simple percentage, standard deviation and t-test.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 reveals the unique characteristics of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers. About 98% of the organic vegetable farmers used plant and animal refuse as manure, about 99% agreed that these manures are produced through locally

fabricated Biodigester, 96% attested to the fact that fabrication of Biodigester requires high level of skills and endogenous knowledge and through this, about 98% of the farmers agreed that there is continuous supplies of vegetables to the open market.

In the same vein, about 93% of inorganic vegetable farmers utilized chemical fertilizers only. These farmers have no input or control in the manufacturing of these fertilizers as attested by 97% of them. Because of their inability to control inflow of these fertilizers, about 82% asserted that there is inconsistency in the supply of vegetables to the open market. About 81% of these farmers agreed that they do not require special skills to be proficient in the utilization of chemical fertilizers since manual guides simply explain methods of application.

It could be inferred from these findings that continuous supply of vegetables to the market as characterized by organic vegetable farmers highly depicts ever-available local materials for the construction of Biodigester that provides affordable Bio and Biosol (manure). As buttressed by Gomero (2000), a total of 100 litres of liquid fertilizer can be obtained every two to three months.

Table 2 reveals that about 100% of the organic vegetable farmers agreed that one of the top most production activities is the fabrication of Biodigester, followed by actual planting of vegetable seeds through Broadcasting as well as dip in the excavated holes (100%), clearing of grasses (96%), daily picking of vegetable leaves (86%) and application of manure (72%). As reported by Gomero, production activities of organic vegetables are participatory oriented.

Consequently, about 100% of inorganic vegetable farmers rated clearing of grass as the top most pre-production activity followed by

Table 1: Characteristics of organic and inorganic vegetable growers

| <i>S. No. variables</i> | <i>No. of farmers N = 500</i> | <i>Responses (%)</i> | <i>Inorganic farmers variables</i> | <i>No of farmers N = 500</i> | <i>Responses (%)</i> |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Organic farmers use plant and animal manure only | 488 | 98% | Farmers use industrial and chemically manufactured fertilizers only | 465 | 93% |
| 2. Materials used for the production of manure are fabricated plastic rubber and plant/animal wastes | 493 | 99% | Farmers have not control over the fertilizers produced | 486 | 97% |
| 3. Utilize endogenous materials only | 340 | 68% | Farmers use exotic method applying fertilizers | 361 | 72% |
| 4. Continues supplies of vegetables all the year round | 489 | 98% | Supplies of vegetables to the market are not continuous | 410 | 82% |
| 5. Skilled and knowledgeable to fabricate Biodigester | 480 | 96% | Do not require skills. | 403 | 81% |

Table 2: Production activities of organic and inorganic vegetable growers

| S. No. variables | Organic farmers No. of farmers N = 500 | Responses (%) | Inorganic farmers variables | No of farmers N = 500 | Responses (%) |
|--|--|---------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Fabrication of Biodigester | 500 | 100% | Clearing of grasses | 500 | 100% |
| 2. Clearing of grasses | 480 | 96% | Gathering and burning of grasses | 241 | 48% |
| 3. Stumping/leveling | 400 | 80% | Stumping and tillage | 311 | 62% |
| 4. Raking/excavation of holes | 489 | 98% | Heap/Ridge making | 420 | 84% |
| 5. Planting of seeds inside the excavated hole/broadcasting. | 500 | 100% | Planting of seeds on heaps | 480 | 96% |
| 6. Daily application of water | 320 | 64% | Non application of water | 350 | 70% |
| 7. Application of Biol and Biosol by sprinkling. | 360 | 72% | Strip and ring method of fertilizer application | 461 | 92% |
| 8. Daily picking of foliage leaves | 431 | 86% | Vegetables harvested forth nightly | 453 | 91% |

$\chi^2=80.4$

planting of seeds on heaps (96%); strip application of fertilizers (92%) and harvest of vegetables forth nightly (91%). Oral interviews and interactions held amongst the inorganic vegetable farmers revealed that most of the production activities (pre-post) are carried out individually.

Table 3 reveals that about 99% of organic vegetable farmers embarked on Fluted pumpkin; followed by *Amaranthus Spinosis* (96%). The table also reveals that some farmers produce carrot (7%); pepper (4%) and tomatoes (3%) basically for household consumption.

The inorganic vegetable farmers embarked on the following vegetables for commercial venture (*Amaranthus Spinosis*, 82%; fluted pumpkin, 85%; carrot, 62%; pepper, 54% and tomatoes, 63%) respectively.

Table 4 reveals that about 93% of organic

vegetable farmers derived their inputs through communal contributions. About 81% and 83% accepted thrift and borrowing as their sources of getting inputs for the production of vegetables; while, 6% and 20% accepted free gift and communal contributions respectively.

Inferring from these findings it becomes pertinent to posit that inorganic farmers gained more favour from the government through subsidization of fertilizer prices and other inputs like exotic seeds and pumping machines. They have more access to loans from Banks, while the organic vegetable farmers rely heavily on community participation and providence.

Table 5 reveals that about 98% of organic vegetable farmers reported lack of finance as the topmost problem amongst others which include: lack of pumpkins engine (96%), inadequate transport system (93%); acquisition of plot (85%)

Table 3: Type of vegetable grown by farmers

| S. No. farmers variables | Organic vegetable No. 500 | % | Inorganic vegetable farmers variables | No. 400 | % |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----|--|-------------------|-----|
| 1. Amaranthus Spinosis | 481 | 96% | Amaranthus Spinosis | 411 | 82% |
| 2. Fluted Pumkin | 495 | 99% | Fulted Pumpkin | 423 | 85% |
| 3. Carrot | 36 | 7% | Carrot | 310 | 62% |
| 4. Pepper | 20 | 4% | Pepper | 268 | 54% |
| 5. Tomatoes | 15 | 3% | Tomatoes | 315 | 63% |
| | \bar{x} 41.80 | | | $\bar{X}_2=69.20$ | |
| | sb 45.51 | | | SD2=12.12 | |

Table 4: Source of agricultural input by farmers

| S. No. variables | Organic farmers No. 500 | % Responses | Inorganic farmers variables | No. 500 | % Responses |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Communal contribution | 466 | 93% | -Commercial institutions | 445 | 89% |
| 2. Thrift | 403 | 81% | -Thrift | 461 | 92% |
| 3. Borrowing | 415 | 83% | -Borrowing | 448 | 90% |
| 4. Free gift | 28 | 6% | -Free gift | 31 | 6% |
| 5. Commercial institutions | 101 | 20% | -Communal contribution | 51 | 10% |
| | $\bar{x}_1 = 56.6$ | | | $\bar{y}_1 = 57.40$ | |
| | SD ₁ = 36.10 | | | SD ₂ = 40.37 | |

Table 5: Problems affecting organic and inorganic vegetable forms

| <i>S. Organic farmers</i> | <i>No.</i> | <i>%</i> | <i>Inorganic farmers</i> | <i>No.</i> | <i>%</i> |
|--|------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| <i>No. variables</i> | <i>500</i> | <i>Responses</i> | <i>variables</i> | <i>500</i> | <i>Responses</i> |
| 1. Acquisition of plot | 424 | 85% | -Acquisition of plot. | 401 | 80% |
| 2. Lack of pumping engine | 481 | 96% | Irrigational problems | 450 | 90% |
| 3. Lack of finance | 491 | 98% | -Lack of pumping engine | 461 | 92% |
| 4. Inadequate system of transportation | 466 | 93% | -Lack of finance | 490 | 98% |
| | | | -Lack of chemical fertilizer | 498 | 100% |

and inability to acquire loan (83%). In the same vein, about 100% of the inorganic vegetable farmers reported lack of chemical fertilizers as the topmost problem. From the table, it could be deduced that both organic and inorganic vegetable farmers are confronted with almost the same magnitude of problems. This points to the fact that both farmers require assistance from governments and philanthropists including non-governmental associations (NGOs). The researcher observed and gathered that land acquisition is a threat to their farming business.

Table 6 shows that through organic vegetable farming the benefit of mutual co-operation is paramount. This is in agreement with the principle of participatory rural appraisal (PRA) technique fully in use in the production of Biodigester and other endogenous practices in the contemporary society. Almost all the organic vegetable farmers attested to the following benefits of growing vegetables all the year round. Promotion of cultural ties, enhancement of group participation, nutritional enhances and upgradation of living standard. Moreso, about 98% and 91% supported nutritional enhances and upliftment of living standard. However, about 21%, 8% and 4% sparingly attested to mutual co-operation, group participation and promotion of cultural ties as benefits of growing vegetables. This confirms – the fact that farmers on the high plain of Benue Valley exhibit individualistic characteristics and self-centredness.

Hypothesis - tested at .05 level of significance.

Hypothesis 1

H_0 : There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic vegetables farmers on the production activities of vegetables.

Analysis of this hypothesis reveals that there is significant difference between the production activities of both organic and inorganic vegetable farming. It is evident in the calculated *t* value of 4.11 and critical ratio of 2.15 (see Table 7). This result proved the fact that organic vegetable farming is unique in its operational activities that involve use of Biodigester and local methods.

Hypothesis 2

H_0 : There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers on the type of vegetables grown.

Analysis of this hypothesis shows that there is no significant difference between the types of vegetables grown by the organic and inorganic vegetable farmers because they attend the same market for their commodities. The consumers demand apparently determines the sameness of vegetables grown (see Table 7).

Hypothesis 3

H_0 : There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic

Table 6: Benefits from organic and inorganic vegetable farming

| <i>S. Organic farmers</i> | <i>No.</i> | <i>%</i> | <i>Inorganic farmers</i> | <i>No.</i> | <i>%</i> |
|--|------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| <i>No. variables</i> | <i>500</i> | <i>Responses</i> | <i>variables</i> | <i>500</i> | <i>Responses</i> |
| 1. All year round supply of vegetables | 493 | 99% | -All year round supply of vegetables | 118 | 24% |
| 2. Nutritional enhancer | 490 | 98% | -Nutritional enhancer | 456 | 91% |
| 3. Enhancing living standard | 489 | 98% | -Enhancing living standard | 491 | 98% |
| 4. Encouraging mutual cooperation's | 466 | 93% | -Lack of finance | 490 | 98% |
| | | | -Lack of chemical fertilizer | 498 | 100% |

Table 7: T-test analysis of the production activities, types of vegetable grown, source of inputs and problems affecting the operational activities of organic and inorganic farmers.

| Variable | Organic farmer | | Inorganic farmer | | Df | T-ratio | T-cal |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|---------|----|---------|-------|
| | X | SD | X | SD | | | |
| Production Activities | 87.00 | 27.80 | 80.40 | 37.00 | 14 | 2.15 | 1.74 |
| Types of Vegetables grown | 41.80 | 2071.16 | 69.20 | 146.89 | 14 | 2.15 | 4.11* |
| Source of inputs | 51.60 | 3203.56 | 57.40 | 1629.74 | 14 | 2.15 | 0.04 |
| Operational Problems | 76.67 | 1056.90 | 88.86 | 116.21 | 14 | 2.15 | -9.23 |

*T= significant at $p < 0.05$, x- mean, SD – Standard Deviation, Df – degree of freedom, T-cal = calculated, t-ratio

vegetable farmers on the sources of inputs.

H_0 : Analysis of this hypothesis holds common sources of deriving essential inputs both material and financial for production of vegetables (see Table 7).

Hypothesis 4

There is no significant difference in the mean responses of organic and inorganic vegetable farmers on the problems affecting their operational activities.

Analysis of this hypothesis reveals that there is no significant difference between problems encountered by the farmers. This shows that all the farmers encounter high difficulties in exhibiting the entire production activities both at the river valley and on the plain land.

CONCLUSION

Locally-fabricated Biodigester is an adaptive technology from Peru and Colombia. The organic vegetable farmers adequately utilized it for the production of manure. Effective and judicious application depends on training and re-training of practical farmers.

Vegetable farming is apparently a household practice. Every household in the global world requires vegetables of various kinds as nutritional enhancer replenishment of broken down tissues. Therefore, it becomes a primary concern of all

human beings to propagate and conserve vegetables for animals, the vegetarians, industrial sectors. Sustainability and affordability of vegetables all the year round require adoption of low-cost technology that demand locally sourced materials and less strenuous stalls.

1. Farmer Field Schools should be established in all local government Headquarters in Nigeria, where able-bodied men and women interested in farming will be trained and educated on the fabrication of Biodigester.
2. Soft loan should be made available to those in the business of farming especially, the organic and inorganic vegetable farmers.
3. The Government policy on land use act should be reviewed to allow vegetable farmers have access to land along River Banks of Benue Valley.
4. Interested philanthropists, non-government organizations and Foreign donor agencies should join the crusade of world wide nutritional enhancer" (vegetable production).

REFERENCES

- Agbulu, O. N. and Ekele, G. E.: *Functional Curriculum in Agricultural Education for Nigeria*. Wilson Press, Makurdi, Benue State (2004).
- Gomero, O. and Velasquez, L.: *Manejo ecologico de suelos, experiencia y Practicas para Una agricultura Sustentable*. RAAA, Lima, Peru (2000).
- RAAA: *Producamos bio, abono folia organico*. Lima, Peru (2004).