BEFORE THE PRINCIPAL BENCH NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL

NEW DELHI

CIRCUIT BENCH AT JODHPUR

Original Application No. $420(T_{HC})/2013$ CWP No. 6483 of 2011

And

Original Application No. $421(T_{HC})/2013$ CWP No.6484 of 2011

And

Original Application No. $422(T_{HC})/2013$ CWP No. 6980 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. 423(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6494 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. 424(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6496 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. 425(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6481 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. 426(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6485 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. 427(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6495 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. 428(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6984 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. $429(T_{HC})/2013$ CWP No. 6498 of 2011

ANI

Original Application No. 430(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6497 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. 431(T_{HC})/2013 CWP No. 6691 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. $432(T_{HC})/2013$ CWP No. 6985 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. $433(T_{HC})/2013$ CWP No. 6576 of 2011

AND

Original Application No. $30(T_{HC})/2014$ (CWP No. 6575 of 2011)

In the Matters of: -

M/s Mahabaleshwar Pro. House Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Tripti Industries Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Rajlaxmi Processors Vs Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Shri Bhuwal Processors Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Millan Mills Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Sarweshwar Processors Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Baituwala Industries Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Sarweshwar Udhyog Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Shri U.P. Processing House Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Mangal Processing Mills Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Manas Mani Textile Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Sumra Processing House Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Mamta Industries Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Heena Felt Vs. Balotra W.P.C. & R.F.T. & Ors.

AND

M/s Maruti Mills Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Balotra Water Pollution Control Board & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. (DR.) P.C. MISHRA, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Petitioner (s) : Appearance not given

Respondents No. 1: Mr. Vikas Balia, Advocate State P.C.B.: Mr. Manish Shishodia, Adv.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal	
Item Nos. 1 to 14 and	We have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties	
supplementary Item No. 17	at some length.	
March 7, 2014	The Petition/Application is vague and does not eve	
,	mention whether the unit is actually operating or not. It is also	
	not stated whether the unit has obtained consent of State	

Pollution Control Board (SPCB) for the purpose of establishing and/or operating.

Learned Counsel appearing for the Trust submits that some of these industries are actually operating and some of them may not be. According to him, even there are cases of expansion of industrial activity by some of the units.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, it is essential for the Tribunal to get complete information before passing any final order.

Let Notice be issued to the State Pollution Control Board.

Mr. Manish Shishodia appearing for the Board accepts notice on behalf of the SPCB.

We direct that in all these cases, the following information shall be provided by all the parties i.e. the Petitioner, Trust and the Board:

- 1. Whether the unit has or had obtained consent of the SPCB for establishment/operation?
- 2. Whether these industries are actually operating or carrying on business of printing of textiles?
- 3. Copies of the orders granting / refusing consent to these industries should also be placed on record.
- 4. Whether any opportunity of hearing was granted to the units before revoking the NOC granted to them in the year 2009 onwards?
- 5. The Board shall specifically bring on record, if all these industries are zero discharge units or availability of being connected to the Common Effluent Treatment Plant, it is necessary to grant / refuse consent?
- 6. Whether any of these industries/units have established their own ETPs and if so, to what effect?

Let these complete information be furnished by all the concerned parties, the Respondents by filing an affidavit of a person not below the rank of Member Secretary or CEO of the Trust and the Petitioners, within a period of three weeks from today positively with copies to each other.

List these matters on 1st May, 2014.

	,C.P. (Swatanter Kumar)
	,JM (M.S. Nambiar)
	(Prof. (Dr.) P.C. Mishra)
	,EM (Dr. R.C. Trivedi)
	HE WAR
P. GRA	THE STATE OF THE S
CEN TRIBU	N. C.