BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 233/2015

Ratneshwar Prasad Singh Vs. Banka Municipality & Ors.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER **CORAM:**

HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant /Appellant :Mr.Praveen Agarawal, Adv., Mr. Rajesh

Ranjan Singh, Adv. Respondent No. 1,2&3

:Mr. Anup Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks Item No. 12	
December 11, 2015	Heard. Perused Record.
AM	Considering the facts & circumstances in the
	present case we find that the solution to the problem
	lies in getting the suitable gradient for un-obstructed
111	flow of sewerage from the house of the applicant to the
111	municipal drain flowing across the road; and this can
V . S	be achieved on
3	1. Finding out an appropriate point for connection of
1113	the house drain to the municipal drain
	downstream.
	2. The Applicant putting up a drainage plan drawn
200	for sanction to necessary construction through an
	Architect with the municipality,
	3. The municipality granting necessary construction
	permission thereupon in accordance with law.
	4. Finally, the construction of drain in accordance
	with the Sanctioned Plan at the cost of the
	applicant/property owner.
	Let this solution be considered by the applicant
	and he may revert back to us on the next date.
	Learned Counsel appearing for the Municipal

Corporation submits that he has no objection to such solution being implemented. At this stage Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits on instruction that the solution that is proposed by this Tribunal is not acceptable for the reasons that the applicant is not ready to bear the expenses of the architect and for the construction of the sewerage line. List the case on 7th January, 2016. (U.D. Salvi),EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)