## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 124 of 2015 (M.A. No. 375 of 2016, M.A. No. 308 of 2017, M.A. No. 310 of 2017, M.A. No. 327 of 2017, 669 of 2017)

And

Original Application No. 127 of 2016 (M.A. Nos. 309 of 2017, 657 of 2017)

And M.A. No. 664 of 2017 In Appeal No. 11 of 2017

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

Sumitra Devi Vs. CPCB & Ors.

And

Dr. Laxman Raghav Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.

And

Arvind Press Caps Limited Vs. Rajasthan State Pollution Control Board

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. NAGIN NANDA, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Mr. Rahul Kuhrana, Adv. for Applicant in OA 127/16 with Dr. D. Gupta, Adv.

Respondent No. 1:

Mr. Vivek Kumar Tandon with Ms. Mamta Tandon Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv. with Ms. Preeti, LA, Central Pollution Control Board

Dr. Sandeep Singh, Adv. for Sta<mark>te</mark> of Uttar Pradesh Mr. Raj Panjwani, Sr. Adv. and Mr. Anuj Bhandari, Adv. for RIICO

Mr. Manav Gupta, Ms. Esha Dutta, Mr. Sahil Garg and Mr. Anupam Pandey, Advs. for HSIL Ltd. Kaharani Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. with Mr. Bhupeder Kumar, LO Central Pollution Control Board

Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv. for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv. and Mr. Vinayak Gupta, Adv. for

CGWA

Respondent No. 7 & 9: State of Rajasthan Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Mr. Aksh Tyagi, Advs. Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG with Mr. Saurabh Rajpal and Mr. Arun Prasad, Advs., Mr. R.K. Grover, Secretary Environment Rajasthan & RSPCB

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 09 to 11	It is unfortunate that none of the queries raised by
September 15, 2017	the Tribunal have been answered in a 56 long pages
SS & SN	status report filed on behalf of the State of Rajasthan and
	other stakeholders. We have requested the Learned
	Counsel appearing for the State and the senior officers
	present, not to seek unnecessary adjournments before the

Item No. 09 to 11

September 15, 2017

SS & SN

Tribunal without taking effective steps and providing the requisite data.

The Learned Counsel submits that there is a pipeline that has been laid which carries the effluents. We direct production of DPR that was prepared and the data that was collected for the purposes of determining what should be the gradient size of the pipeline; the kind of effluents was it required to carry and to which end.

List these matters on 20th September, 2017.

"CP (Swatanter Kumar)
"JM (Raghuvendra S. Rathore)
"EM

