BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 175/2015 M.A. No. 876/2015

Shailesh Singh V/s. Hotel Jaypee Vasant, New Delhi & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant / Appellant :Mr. Sudeep Dey, Adv.

CPCB :Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. Mr. S.L. Gundli, S.L.O.

Respondent No. 2 :Mr. Pinaki Misra, Sr. Adv. Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Ms.

Anisha Mitra, Advs.

Respondent No. 3, 5 :Mr. A.P. Singh, Mr. Vijay M. Chauhan, Mr. Akhilesh

Chaurasia, Advs.

Respondent No. 4 :Mr. Abhimanshu Mahajan, Mr. Milan Deep Singh, Advs.

Respondent No. 10 :Mr. A. Kr. Prasad, Priyanka Swami, Advs. Respondent No. 11 :Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Mr. Akshay Abrol, Advs.

NDMC :Ms. Saakshi Popli, Adv.

UPPCB :Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhyani, Advs.

| Date and Remarks | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Item No. 5 September 16, | Heard. Perused. |
| 2015 | M.A. No. 876 of 2015. |
| 11 | Parties have no opposition to taking of the |
| | documents on record. Permission is granted to the |
| V \$ | applicant to place on record the documents mentioned |
| 3 | in the application. M.A. No. 876 of 2015 stands |
| V 1/1/2 | disposed of accordingly. |
| | No reply has been filed by Respondent Nos. 8 & 9. |
| | The Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant |
| 3 | submits that the RTI revelations made by the NDMC are |
| | in contradiction with the stand taken by the DPCC. |
| | The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the |
| | DPCC submits that he will consider the RTI revelations |
| | and would give appropriate answer at the time of |
| | hearing of main application. Let explanation to said |
| | contradiction, if any, be filed before the hearing begins. |
| | Advance copy of it be furnished to the applicant. |
| | The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of |
| | Respondent No. 2 submits that on 03 rd August, 2015 he |

had made a statement on instructions furnished by the representative of the Taj Palace who was not conversant with the Technicalities of issue and resultantly the statement was made that the hotel will take steps to switch over to the Biological STP within three months.

According to him, installation and commissioning of Biological STP is not a simple issue which can be resolved within three months as it involves substantial civil work and securing of permissions from the Local Authorities. This aspect of the issue was not contemplated by the Respondent No. 2 on the date when the statement came to be made. He further submits that the facts have been explained in order to remain free of the blame of making incorrect statement before the Tribunal.

The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder and undertake to file it within one week from today. Copies of the Rejoinder may be furnished well in advance to the contending parties.

List this matter on 01st October, 2015.

| J M |
|---------------------|
| (U.D. Salvi) |
| |
| ,EM |
| (Ranjan Chatterjee) |