

BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 272/2013

Vikas V/s DMRC & Ors.

**CORAM:** HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE DR. P. JYOTHIMANI, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE PROF. DR. G.K. PANDEY, EXPERT MEMBER  
HON'BLE PROF. DR. P.C. MISHRA, EXPERT MEMBER  
HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER

**Applicant / Appellant** : Mr. Dipesh Choudhary, Adv.  
**Respondent No. 1** : Mr. Shiv Kumar, Adv. and Mr. A.S. Rao,  
Law  
Officer  
**Respondent No. 2** : Mr. Vivek Kumar Tandon, Adv.  
**Respondent No. 3** : Mr. Vikas Malhotra, Adv.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
<b>Item No. 6</b> <b>October 23, 2013</b>	<p>Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 4 seeks time to file his response to the averments made in the application. Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No. 1 would submit that by virtue of interim order passed by the Tribunal, the work of Delhi Metro Rail Corporation has been hampered. However, it is the contention of the Applicant that by virtue of permission granted, nearly 500 trees were sought to be cut and the issue has to be decided on merits. We are of the view that unless the Respondent No. 2 files his response the issue would not be decided. Accordingly, interim order already passed shall continue to be in operation. Respondent No. 2 shall file its reply on or before 11.11.2013 by giving advance copy to the Applicant and the Applicant shall file rejoinder, if any, by 15.11.2013 by giving advance copy to the other side.</p> <p>Stand over to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2013 for orders.</p>

....., JM

(Dr. P. Jyothimani)

....., JM

(M.S. Nambiar)

....., EM

(Dr. G.K. Pandey)

....., EM

(Prof. Dr. P.C. Mishra)

....., EM

(Prof. A.R. Yousuf)

