## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## M.A. No. 879 of 2013 In Original Application No. 299 of 2013

Krishan Kant Singh & Anr.Vs. National Ganga River Basin Authority & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present:	Applicant:	Mr. Rahul Choudhary, Advocate
	Respondent No. 1&2:	Mr. Vikas Malhotra and Mr. M.P. Sahay,
	-	Advocates.
	Respondent No. 4:	Mr. B.V. Niren, Advocate
	Respondent No. 5:	Ms. Savitri Pandey, Advocate.
	Respondent No. 6:	Mr. Pradeep Misra, Advocate and Mr. Daleep Kr.
	. 🖉 🛛 🖓	Dhyani, Advocate.
	Respondent No. 7:	Mr. Pinaki Mishra, Senior Advocate, Mr. Arjun
		Mahajan, and Mr. Vikas Malhotra Advocates.
	Respondent No. 8:	Ms. Sweta Rani and Mr. Mayank Alok Shukla,
		Advocate
		Mr. S.A Zaidi and M.Z. Chowdhry for Lelthe
		Industries from Kanpur
	77 ZIX	Ms. Vanita Bhargaua, Mr. Ajay Bhargava and Mr.
		Ankur Khandelwal for United Spirits Ltd.,
		Radico Khaltan Ltd., India Glycol Ltd.,
	>	Shakum <mark>b</mark> ari <mark>Sugar</mark> & Allied Industries Ltd.
		Mr. Bharat Sangal, Advocate, Ms. Srijana Lama,
		Advocate, Ms. I.Abenla Aier, Advocate for NTPC
	-	🖊 Ltd., Unchahar 🛛 🚺 🔷 🧹
	5 6	Mr. Sanjeev Kr. Singh, Advocate and Mr. Asit
		Kumar, Advocate for Bajaj Hindustan Ltd.
	Z	Mr. P.K Jain representative no tribunal notice
	N P.	only UPPCB notice received for J.K. Cotton Ltd.
		Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Mr. Rajesh Chhetri,
		Advocate and Ms. Meenakshi Rawat for the
		Notice No 51
	En.	Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Mr. Rajesh Chhetri
	-CN	Advocate and Meenakhi Rawat for Noticee No
	3	14
Date ar	nd	Orders of the Tribunal

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Item No. 16 May 6, 2014	We have heard the Learned counsel appearing for
	the parties.
	As far as the M/s Simbhaoli Sugars Ltd. & Distillery
	are concerned, we direct them to seat down with the
	concerned Officer of the Central Pollution Control Board,
	Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board, State of Uttar
	Pradesh and the Applicant to examine the points and the
	suggestions that had been made by the CPCB as well as
	proposed measures which should be taken by them to
	become non-polluting and compliant Units.

This direction is necessitated for the reason that the visit of the Expert Member of the Tribunal has fairly shown that the industry is a seriously polluting industry and the immediate steps are required to be taken if industry is permitted to operate presently. It is the Distillery Section which is lying closed because of nongrant of consent by the Board and the Sugar Unit is closed due to passing over of the season.

In the circumstance, we direct that the Member Secretary of the CPCB shall hold this meeting within one week from today and discuss all the issues which have been deliberated before the Tribunal.

We further make it clear that it shall also record as to which of the suggestion cannot be implemented and for what reason and whether there is any alternative available to imposition of such condition or providing of anti pollution device.

In furtherance to our Order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2014, the UP PCB had issued Notice to the nearly 956 industries which are polluting industries and are discharging effluents into the river Ganga and its tributaries. Out of them most seriously polluting industries are chemical, Distillery, dying, textiles, fertilizers, pesticides, pulp and paper and tannery.

The UP Pollution Control Board has prepared a statement which has been placed before the Tribunal today. This includes 687 industries that have been pointed out by the CPCB as polluting industry and against some of them even directions under Section 5 The Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986 have been issued. Besides that number provided by the CPCB, UP Pollution Control Board has added other 269 industries.

It is in order to determine which of the said industries are seriously polluting industries, which need to be dealt with on some priority, we direct that an Officer of the CPCB accompanied by an Officer of the UP Pollution Control Board and one Officer from the National Ganga Basin Authority shall examine all these 956 Noticees and submit a report to the Tribunal on the next date of hearing with the following details:-

1. Which of these 956 Units/Industries are seriously
polluting industries and have not installed ant
pollution devices.
2. Units/Industries which are seriously polluting
industries and have installed anti pollution devices
but such devices are not properly functioning.
3. Units/Industries, which are seriously polluting
industries, have installed anti pollution devices
which are properly functioning and discharge their
emissions and effluents within the prescribed
parameters under Air Act and the Water Act
respectively.
4. Units/Industries which are not seriously polluting
industries but had installed anti pollution devices
and are functioning satisfactorily as non-pollutant
and compliant industries
5. Units/Industries which are small and can be
permitted to operate in future subject to installation
of anti pollution devices to ensure that they would
not cause any serious harm to the environment.
6. This statement/ report shall also state what
directions had been passed by CPCB or the State
Pollution under Section 5 of EP Act and whether
such directions have been complied with by each of
the Units/Industries or not.
7. The Report shall also state the water requirements
resources of water and polluting norms of the
Units/Industries.
8. Whether any bye pass arrangement have been found
in the industry or not.
9. Whether any interlocking system to ensure working
of the unit as per the norms have been found
installed in any of the industry/unit.
List this case for directions on 21 <sup>st</sup> May, 2014 for
M/s Simbhaoli Sugars Ltd. & Distillery and for filing of the
Report by the above Committee on 04 <sup>th</sup> July, 2014.
Liberty is granted to the Units/Industries to file
their respective representation within ten days from today
Liberty is also granted to them to inform the
Committee if their industries are lying closed by filing an

