

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Original Application No. 544/2015  
(M.A. No. 1299/2015)**

**Rajesh Kumar. Vs MoEF & CC & Ors.**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER**

**Present: Applicant /Appellant : Mr. Dinesh Kr. Sabharwal & Mr. Sameer  
Bhanagar  
Respondent No.1 : Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv. for MoEF**

	<b>Date and Remarks</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<b>Item No. 1 December 16 2015</b>	<p>Heard. Perused Record.</p> <p>The Applicant seeks following relief:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <i>To provide appropriate order for implementation of plan of using solar rechargeable LED lights instead of Kerosene oil Lamps for lighting and to reduce the pollution, thermal emission, carbon emission caused by using kerosene oil for lighting purpose.</i></li><li>2. <i>To grant subsidy for solar rechargeable LED lights with Solar Charger instead of Kerosene oil for the lighting houses at night in villages as well as to the places here there is no Electricity and Long Electricity cuts in India.</i></li><li>3. <i>To grant support for increasing employment and reducing poverty.</i></li></ol> <p>Annexed to the application are the photographs and newspaper cuttings, which at the best reveal various gadgets used for lighting purposes and run on Kerosene as well as solar power. Newspaper cuttings reveal cases of variety of pollution causing activities, particularly, due to burning of fossil fuel and its effects on climate. There can be no dispute as to the pollution caused by carbon emission by burning of fossil fuels and its ill effects on Flora and Fauna. However, we do not see any dispute in relation to enforcement of any legal right created by the Statute, which may call for directions to the Government for implementation of a plan, which the</p>

application fails to reveal for using solar rechargeable LED light instead of kerosene oil lamps for lighting, and for grant of subsidy to the solar rechargeable LED lights and support for increasing employment and reducing poverty.

Furthermore, there is nothing in the application to reveal as to when actually the first cause of action arose in the present application. Limitation clause of the application only makes the statement that the application has been filed within a period of limitation and there is no delay in filing the same. We, therefore, reject this application in *limine*.

However, we hope and trust that the Respondent No. 1, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change shall take due cognizance of this application. The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 submits that he will convey the order passed in the case to the Ministry.

O.A. No. 544/2015 and M.A. No. 1299/2015 stands disposed off accordingly.

.....,JM  
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,EM  
(Ranjan Chatterjee)