BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 158/2013

Amit Kumar V/s Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE V.R. KINGAONKAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE DALIP SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. G.K. PANDEY, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Applicant / Appellant : Ms. Nandita, Proxy Counsel

Respondent No. 1 : Ms. Syed Amber, Adv. for Ms. Neelam Rathore,

Adv.

Respondent No. 3 : Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv. and Mr. S.N. Pandey, Adv.

Respondent No. 4 : Mr. Ardhendhmauli Kumar Prasad, Adv.

Respondent No. 6 : Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

Respondent No. 7 : Mr. Adarsh Upadhayay & Mr. Piyush Vashista,

Advs.

Advs.		
Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal	
Order No. 3	We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties.	
August 14, 2013	The Counsel for the Applicant is absent but one proxy	
	counsel by name Ms. Nandita states that copies of the application	
	will be furnished to Respondents No. 1 & 6.	
1/	Since the copies of the application are received today itself by	
	the Respondents No. 1 & 6, the Counsel for the said Respondents	
	seek time to give responses.	
11/10/2	We deem it proper to grant two (2) week's time for filing of	
	counter affidavit by the Respondents.	
	Considering the averments made in paragraph no. 21 of the	
	application, we direct the Respondent No. 6 to conduct inspection of	
100	the construction which are allegedly being carried out by the 53	
	builders, along with any authorized person nominated by the	
	Applicant and if so required with police aid.	
	The Applicant is directed to point out the identity of other	
	buildings which are allegedly within the radius of 10 km from Okhla	
	Bird Sanctuary. The Applicant also shall make serious attempt to	
	identify the names of such builders and shall apply for impleading	
	them by next date of hearing. In case the Respondent No. 6 will find	
	out during the course of such inspection that certain construction	

work is going on within 10 km radius of Okhla Bird Sanctuary without

obtaining proper Environmental Clearance or incontravention of the same then it shall be immediately stopped by making necessary Panchanamas and by preparing status report.

However, in case, it is noticed that the construction is outside the limit of 10 km from the radius of the Okhla Bird Sanctuary, a specific mention in such joint inspection report pertaining to the construction work if so located and name of developer be made.

The date of joint inspection be settled in consultation with Counsel of both the parties i.e. of the Applicant and Respondent No. 6.

Stand over to 27th August, 2013.

	(V.R. Kingaonkar)	JM
	(Dalip Singh)	, JM
	(Dr. G. K. Pandey)	, EM
GREEN	(Prof. A.R. Yousuf)	EM
T I KI	 (Dr. R.C. Trivedi)	EM