BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 21/2014

(M.A. Nos. 155, 248, 394, 412 to 414, 420, 502, 618, 631, 683, 778, 812, 1014, 1015, 1029 of 2015, 1086 of 2015 & 1313 of 2015)

And

Original Application No. 95/2014

And

Original Application No. 303/2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

Vardhaman Kaushik Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Sanjay Kulshrestha Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Supreme Court Women Lawyers Association Vs. Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Original Application No.21 of 2014 and 95 of 2014

Present: Applicant: Mr. Vardhaman Kaushik, Mr. Nishant Gautam,

Mr. Syed Meesam and Mr. Sanjay Upadhyay and Mr.

Salik Shafique.

Respondent No. 1: Respondent No. 2 & 4:

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Advs. For MoEF & CC

Mr. Narender Pal Singh, Adv, with Mr. Dinesh Jindal,

LO For DPCC.

Respondent No. 6 & 7:

Mr. Ardhendumuali Kumar Prasad, Mr. Jigdal G. Chankapa and Mr. Pryanka Swami, Advs. for MoUD & MoPNG

Mr. D. Rajeshwar Rao, Advs. for Transport Deptt. and Delhi Police, GNCT, Delhi

Mr. Vivek Jaiswal & Ms. Somya Rathore, Adv. for EDMC

Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG, Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Mr. Vivek Paul Oriel, Mr. M.V. Kiwi and Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Advs. for MoRTH(NHAI)

Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG and Mr. Akshay Abrol, Advs. for CONCOR

Ms. Savitri Pandey and Ms. Azma Parveen, Adv. for State of U.P.

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv. for State of Chhattisgarh

Mr. Apoorv Kurup and Mr. A.C. Boxipatro, Advs. for State of Chhattisgarh.

Mr. Rajiv Bansal and Mr. Kush Sharma, Mr. Jasmeet Singh and Mr. Amit Bansal, Advs. (DDA)

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Standing Counsel, Ms. Anuradha Arputham and Mr. Yusuf Khan, Advs. For State of Sikkim

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei and Ms. Kalyani, Advs. for State of Manipur & PCB

Ms. Vinakshi Kadar and Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advs. For State of Gujarat & GPCB

Mr. Ravindra Kumar and Mr. Gudipati G. Kashyap, Advs. for NOIDA and Gr. NOIDA

Ms. Priyanka Sinha, Adv. for State of Jharkhand Ms. Anu Tyagi, Adv.

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. for JSPCB

Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Mr. Gautam Singh and Mr. Divya Singh, Advs. for State of Bihar and BSPCB

Ms. Nandini Gore, Ms. Natasha Sehrawat, Mr. Bipin and Mr. Shermendra Choudhary, Advs. for Tata Motors

Mrs. G. Indira and Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Advs. For UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands Administration

Ms. Indira Unninayar, Adv. for Intervener Miscellaneous Application 248/2015

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Advs. For State of Haryana

Mr. Balendu Shekhar & Mr. Akshay Abrol, Advs. for MCD and EDMC

Mr. Jogy Scaria, Adv. and Ms. Beena Vicky, Advs. for State of Kerala

Ms. Sakshi Popli, Advs. for NDMC

Ms. Puja Kalra, Adv. for South Delhi Municipal Corporation and North Delhi Municipal Corporation

Mr. Sunil Satayarth, Adv. and Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv s. For Delhi Cantonment Board

Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv. for State of Telangana

Mr. Prashant Tyagi, Adv.

Mr. D. Rajeshwar Rao, Adv. Counsel for Transport Deptt. and Delhi Police, GNCT, Delhi

Mr. Utkarsh Goel, Advs., Advs. For HMCI

Mr. Jai Prakash Singh, S.O. Hort., PWD

Mr. Tarunvir Singh Khehar, Adv. for GNCTD

Mr. Om Prakash, Adv. for MoR Northern Railway

Ms. Soumya Jit Pani, Adv. for State of Odhisa

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg and Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Advs. for State of Uttarakhand

Mr. Guntur Prabakar and Mr. Prashant Mathur, Advs. For State of Andhra Pradesh

Mr. Yogesh Kanna and Mr. Jayant Patel, Advs. for Tamil Nadu State

Mr. Atmaram. N. S. Nadkarni, Adv. General, Ms. S.S. Rebello, Mr. Anshuman Srivastava and Mr. Debarshi Bhuyan, Advs. for State of Goa and Goa SPCB.

Mr. D.K. Thakur, Mr. Deepak Jain and Mr. Alok Kumar, Adv. for UT of Daman Diu & Dadar & Nagar Haveli

Mr. Shubham Bhall, Adv. for UT of Chandigarh

Ms. Alpana Poddar, Adv. along with Mr. Bhupender Kumar, LA, Central Pollution Control Board

Mr. Vishwendra Verma, Advs. For MoEF

Present: Applicant: Respondent No.1:

Respondent Nos. 2 to 6:

Respondent No. 10:

Dr. Sanjay Kulshreshtha

Mr. Vikas Malhotra, with M. P. Sahay, Advs.

Mr. Ardhendumuali Kumar Prasad, Mr. Pryanka Swami and Mr. Jigdal G. Chankapa, Advs.

Mr. Rahul Choudhary, Adv. for Invenor

Mr. Guntur Prabakar and Mr. Prashant Mathur, Advs. For State of Andhra Pradesh

Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Mr. Gautam Singh and Mr. Divya Singh, Advs. for State of Bihar and BSPCB

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv. for State of Chhattisgarh

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna and Mr. Jayant Patel, Advs. For State of Tamil Nadu & TNPCB

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg and Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Advs. for State of Uttarakhand

Mr. Shubham Bhall, Adv. for UT of Chandigarh

Ms. Savitri Pandey and Ms. Azma Parveen, Adv. for State of U.P.

Mr. D. Rajeshwar Rao, Adv. Counsel for Transport Deptt. and Delhi Police, GNCT, Delhi

Mr. Apoorv Kurup and Mr. A.C. Boxipatro, Advs. for State of Chhattisgarh.

Mrs. G. Indira and Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Advs. For UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands Administration.

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. for JSPCB

Ms. Aruna Mathur, with Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Advs. For State of Sikkim

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei and Ms. Kalyani, Advs. for State of Manipur & PCB

Mr. Alok Kumar, Mr. Dinesh Kr. Thakur and Ms. Vernika Singh, Advs. for Daman & Diu & Dadra & Nagar Haveli

Mr. Sarthak Chaturvedy and Mr. Rohit Pandey, Advs. with Mr. D.N. Tripathi, Adv.

Original Application No. 303 of 2015

Applicant:

Respondent No. 1: Mr. Vishwendra Verma, Advs. For MoEF

Mr. D. Rajeshwar Rao, Adv. Counsel for Transport Deptt. and Delhi Police, GNCT, Delhi Respondent No. 3:

Respondent No. 4: Mr. Tarunvir Singh Khehar, Adv. for GNCTD

Respondent No. 5: Ms. Savitri Pandey along with Ms. Azma Parveen,

Advs. for State of UP.

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG with Mr. Rahul Khurana, Advs.

For State of Haryana

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 22 to 24	The Tribunal is concerned with the three Original
January 06, 2016	Applications i.e. Original Application No. 21 of 2014 –
ss	Vardhaman Kaushik Vs. Union of India & Ors., Original
	Application No. 95 of 2014 – Sanjay Kulshrestha Vs.
1	Union of India & Ors. and Original Application No. 303 of 2015 - Supreme Court Women Lawyers Association Vs.
Variable.	Union of India & Ors.
V 15	In the first Application, the Applicant has pleaded
	general facts of air pollution particularly in NCT, Delhi.
11/1/1/	However the prayer is generally applicable to the entire
	country. In the case of Sanjay Kulshrestha; the Applicant
V	is more children oriented that is young infant suffering
	from different diseases as a result of pollution majorly
	generated by air pollution. This petition relates to major
	cities of India including Delhi. The Original Application
	No. 303 of 2015 deals with the matter relating to air
	pollution in NCT, Delhi.
	In view of the fact that the matter is pending before
	the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, particularly the order
	dated 16th December, 2015 in relation to matter relating to
	air pollution in NCT, Delhi, we are of the considered view
	that Tribunal should not pass any directions in this

regard at this stage. Therefore we would restrict these petitions for the present only to the places other than Delhi, in relation to air pollution by different sources and subject to such orders as may be passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

We therefore have to decide the matter relating to air pollution in other major cities like Bombay, Kolkata, Bangalore, Patna, Lucknow, Allahabad, Kanpur, Varanasi, Pune, Nagpur, Chennai, Hyderabad, Ludhiana, Jallandhar and Amritsar. Let Notice be issued to all the Learned Counsel appearing for the respective states relating to these cities. The Learned Counsel appearing for State of Gujarat, State of Bihar, State of Punjab, State of U.P., State of Telangana, State of Odisha, State of Chhatisgarh, State of Maharashtra, State of Karnataka and State of Jhar<mark>kand ar</mark>e present. All these States would file a comprehensive affidavit stating the steps taken by the respective states in the respective cities, for prevention and control of air pollution. The ambient air quality samples will be taken and analysis report be submitted before the Tribunal. They shall also state the steps they are taking for controlling and preventing the air pollution, resulting from dust emission because of the constructions and other activities, emission from burning of Municipal Solid Waste and other waste including burning of agriculture residue and vehicular pollution. The Pollution Control Board of the respective States in consultation with the Central Pollution Control Board shall prepare a report and analyse the ambient air quality samples forthwith in the related cities as indicated.