

BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, CENTRAL ZONAL BENCH, BHOPAL

Original Application No. 40/2013(THC) (CZ)

Vinod Kumar Pandey & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.

**CORAM :** HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE MR. P.S.RAO, EXPERT MEMBER

**PRESENT :** Applicant : Mr. Atindriya Chakrabarty, Adv.  
Respondent No. 2: Shri Sachin Verma, Advocates  
Respondent(CPCB): None.  
Respondent No. 7 : Shri Shantanoo Saxena, Advocate  
Respondent No. 4: Ms. Parul Bhadoria, Adv. for  
Mr. Purushaindra Kaurav, Adv.

Date and Remarks	Order of the Tribunal
<b>Item No. 7</b> <b>22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2014</b>	<p>It is the grievance of the Applicant that the map tendered with the submissions dated 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2014 by the Respondent No. 7/Project Proponent does not tally with the area shown to have been committed for construction of ash dyke in the documents titled 'detailed description' at annexure to the said submissions. The detailed description' annexed to the submission reveals that an area of 48.027 hectares has been committed for construction of ash dyke and the area is shown to include Khasra Nos. 33/1 and 233/1. Whereas the map shows only area of Khasra No. 33/1 as proposed for construction of ash dyke. This discrepancy needs to be resolved with the exact map drawn to the scale in order to place on record the area committed for ash dyke. The Respondent No. 7 shall submit a plan showing the proposed ash dyke drawn to the scale before the next date of hearing.</p> <p>Grievance made by the counsel for the Respondent No. 4/Environment Conservation Board is that its response to the map filed by the Respondent No. 7 could not be filed for want of copies of the submissions of the plan tendered by the Respondent No. 7. Respondent No. 7 undertakes to furnish the copies of the submissions and the annexure including the map to the Respondent No. 4.</p>

List on 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2014.

.....,JM  
(U. D. SALVI)

.....,EM  
(P.S.RAO)

