

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Original Application No. 489/2014  
(M.A. No. 803/2014 & M.A. No. 934/2015)**

**Indian Institute of Sustainable Development  
Vs.  
U.O.I. & Ors.**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER**

**Present:**

<b>Applicant/Appellant(s)</b>	<b>:Mr. Sudeep Dey, Adv.</b>
<b>Respondent No.1</b>	<b>: Mr. Vishwender Verma, Adv</b>
<b>Respondent No. 12</b>	<b>: Mr. Jogy Scaria and Mr. robin, Ms . Beena Advs.</b>
<b>Respondent No. 13</b>	<b>: Mr. Yogesh Khanna, Mr. Jayant Patel, Advs.</b>
<b>Respondent No. 14</b>	<b>:Mr. Sovmyajit and Mr. P. Venkatesan, Adv.</b>
<b>Respondent No. 15</b>	<b>: Mr. Prashant mathur and Mr. G. Prabhakar. Advs.</b>
<b>Respondent No. 16</b>	<b>: Ms. Savitri Pandey and Ms. Azma Parveen, Advs.</b>
<b>Respondent No. 17</b>	<b>: Mr. Rahul Verma, AAG.</b>
<b>Respondent No. 18</b>	<b>: Ms. Kankana Arandhara and ms. Deepika Ghatowar, Advs.</b>
<b>Respondent No.</b>	<b>: Mr. Devraj Ashok, State of Karnataka</b>
<b>State of Arunachal Pradesh</b>	<b>: Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Mr. anil Adv.</b>
<b>State of Mizoram</b>	<b>: Mr. Pulkit Prakash, and Mr. pragyan, Mr. ravi kant Advs.</b>
<b>State of Meghalaya</b>	<b>: Mr. upendra mishra, Mr. aparajit, advs.</b>
<b>State of HP</b>	<b>: Mr. suryanarayan adv.</b>

<b>Date and Remarks</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
<b>Item No. 6 December 18, 2015</b>	<p>Heard. Perused Record.</p> <p>The applicant is seeking ban on the use of charcoal as a fuel/raw material in the industries and directions to the Respondent States to forthwith prepare the Forest Management Plan for implementation of the said Ban effectively.</p> <p>Some of the applications of charcoal for various industries in India are quoted at para 3(n) of the application. According to the applicant, the raw material-charcoal is obtained by such industries from various forests and Forest Conservation Act is a casualty of such large scale demand of charcoal.</p> <p>Some of the States like H.P. who have been lately served with the notice of this application submit that</p>

they require time for filing reply to the application as well as furnishing a mechanism for curbing the mischief alleged in the application. Three weeks time is granted for filing the responses. Advance copies of the responses be furnished to the applicant who may file rejoinder thereto, if necessary, within a week thereafter.

List this matter on 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2016.

.....,JM  
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,EM  
(Ranjan Chatterjee)

