BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Execution Application No. 12 of 2016 In Original Application No. 118 of 2013

Vikrant Kumar Tongad

Vs.

Environment Pollution (Prevention Control) Authority & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant: Respondent No.4:

Date

Remarks Item No.

17

January 0<mark>6,</mark> 2016

Respondent No.5&6:

Respondent No.7&8:

Respondent No. 9:

and

Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Kr. Dhayani, Advs.
Mr. Anil Grover, AAG with Mr. Rahul Khurana, Mr. Saneep Yadav, Advs.
Mr. Anil Soni, AAG with Mr. Naginder Benipal, Advs.
Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv.
Mr. Bhupender Kumar, LA.
Mr. Pinaki Misra, Sr. Adv.Mr. Abhishek Yadav,

Mr. Rahul Choudhary, Ms. Meera Gopal, Adv.

Adv. Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv. (Agriculture) Ms. Deep Shikha Bharati, Adv.

Ord	ers	ot	the	Tribunal	

Learned counsel appearing for State of Punjab submits that they have taken District Patiala as Modal District for the purposes of prevention and control of air pollution resulting from crop residue burning.

Learned counsel appearing for State of Haryana submits that they have taken District Karnal as a Model District for that purpose. It is stated that both these Districts have high rural population and agriculture activity is largely carried out in these Districts. Let the concerned Secretary of the State file a complete Action Plan in relation to these two Districts at the first instance. It will include whether any baseline data as today has been collected or not. Let that be collected as a first action. They should also put on record the measures they propose to take in furtherance to the Judgment of the Tribunal and submit the same positively before the Tribunal within two weeks from today.

