### BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Original Application No. 107/2014 And Original Application No. 96/2014

## Samaj Bhalai Sangharsh Committee Vs Municipal Council, Gardhiwala, Hosiarpur And

Surinder Singh & Ors. Vs Municipal Council, Gardhiwala, Hosiarpur

# CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALIP SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. G. K. PANDEY, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

- Present: Applicant/Appellant(s) Respondent No. 1 Respondent No. 2 & 3
- : Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
- : Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.
- Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, AAG with Ambuj Agrawal, Adv.
  Ms. Gurinderjit, Adv.

**Respondent** No. 4

## Date and Remarks Item Nos. 2&3

July 3, 2014

#### Orders of the Tribunal

Learned Counsel appearing for the Punjab Pollution Control Board (PPCB) submitted that on 06.06.2014, they have sent a letter to Municipality of Gardhiwala, Hosiarpur indicating there that "Wet rendering process is successful and economical. For example, Central Leather Research Institute, Chennai has set a Wet rendering plant in Kanpur which is working successfully in the residential area and also there was no offensive odour in the surroundings. Moreover, the cost factor is not so high i.e. may be 15 Lacs for processing 4-5 carcasses per day."

It is further submitted that "Punjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana is also concerned Institute to provide guidance for the handling and disposal of carcasses. Punjab Pollution Control Board has no such cell to provide this type of guidance directly to the Municipal Committee."

Based on the aforesaid, learned Counsel appearing for the State of Punjab as well as learned Counsel appearing for the Municipal Council submitted that they would submit their response and take a decision with regard to adopting aforesaid technology for disposal of the carcasses.

So far, it is submitted that in case aforesaid technology as proposed by the PPCB in the letter dated 06.06.2014 is adopted and even installed at the site in dispute at present, objecting to the solution of the site would become redundant as the area is not likely to be affected by any offensive odour.

In the light of the above, we impress upon the State Government to take policy decision in the matter and also if the proposal of PPCB is approved necessary funding/financial assistance may be provided to the Municipalities in the State in a phased manner to install such plants in the State.

In the meanwhile, the Respondent No. 1 (Municipal Council) would proceed to secure the area by constructing the wall even if new technology is to be adopted at the site location.

Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant submitted that they would take all efforts to ensure that no obstruction is created during the construction of the wall to secure the area.

Stand over to 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2014.

JM (Dalip Singh)

(Dr. G. K. Pandey)

....., EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)