BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 161 of 2016 (M.A. Nos. 718/2016, 1093/2016, 17/2017, 81/2017, 376/2017, 1028/2017 & 1193/2017)

And

Original Application No. 162 of 2016 (M.A. No. 640/2016 & 994/2016)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Dr. Amandeep Aggarwal Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.

And

Peacock Environment & Wild Life Protection Society Vs. State of Punjab

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

> HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Respondent No. 2:

Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Adv

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG & Mr. Rahul Khurana, and Adv. for State of Haryana with HSPCB

Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Mr. Sriansh Prakash and

Mr. Rajkumar, Mr. Ravi Gopal Advs.

Mr. Kamal Jeet Singh, AAG for Punjab Govt.

Mr. Ankit Verma, Adv.

Mr. Shubham Bhalla, Ms. Roopam Adv for Chandigarh Administration

Ms. Kritika Sachdeva and Mr. Mukesh Kumar,

Advs.

Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv. and Mr. Bhupender, LA for

Central Pollution Control Board

Mr. Om Prakash, Adv. for Northern Railways

Mr. Naginder Benipal, Adv. for State of Punjab

Mr. Attin Shankar Rastogi, Adv. for Ministry of

Environment, Forest and Climate Change

Mr. I.K. Kapila, Adv.

Mr. Edward Belho and Mr. Luikang and Ms.

Hoineithiam, Advs.

Mr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 24 & 25	Mr. Harsh Kumar Sharma, IFS, Chief Conservator of
November 24, 2017	Forests (Hills) Punjab is present. He tenders
	unconditional and unqualified apology and submits that
A	the letter was issued under some mis-conception.
	Learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab
	submits that the Competent Authority has already
	withdrawn the letter dated 14th November, 2017 issued by
	the said Officer. Infact they have further issued directions
	that no Officer should issue such letters and all letters
	should be referred to the Competent Authority, circular

Item No. 24 & 25

November 24, 2017

Α

letter of the State Government has been placed on record.

In view of the above circumstances, we do not consider it necessary to take further action against the Officer. We accept his apology and withdraw Notice issued to him.

The concerned DFOs are present. They submit that they need time to verify whether more than 1 Lacs trees actually been planted in the three Divisions, what is present status of the trees and when they were planted, what is their height and mortality rate. They pray for time. On their request, we grant time till next date of hearing.

The report should be placed on record with the personal Affidavit of these DFOs who will be present before the Tribunal. It is only upon verification of the afforestation that we would be passing orders on the project of NHAI and other Project Proponent including that of PWD.

List these matters on 30th November, 2017.

(Swatanter Kumar)	,CF
(Dr. Jawad Rahim)	,JM
(Bikram Singh Sajwan)	,EM