

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

Review Application No. 11 of 2013

IN

Original Application No. 281 of 2013

(M.A. No. 165 of 2014, M.A. No. 167 of 2014 & M.A. No. 09 of 2015)

And

Original Application No. 152 of 2014

In the matter of :-

Haryali Welfare Society Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Dr. Vidyasagar Vs. Union of India & Ors.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER**

Original Application No. 281 of 2013

**Present: Applicant: Mr. Raj Panjwani, Sr. Adv. and Mr. Rahul Choudhary, Advs.
Respondent No. 1: Mr. Vikas Malhotra & Mr. M.P. Sahay, Advs.
Mr. Anil Grover, AAG of Haryana with Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv. for State of Haryana**

Original Application No. 152 of 2014

**Present: Applicant: Appearance not marked
Respondent No. 1: Mr. Vikas Malhotra & Mr. M.P. Sahay, Advs.
Mr. Anil Grover, AAG of Haryana with Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv. for State of Haryana**

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 01-02 March 17, 2015	<p>The Learned Counsel appearing for the state of Haryana is unable to dispute the fact that allocation of tenders for felling of trees through the organisation or a company owned by the Private Contractors are not strictly in conformity with the Haryana Forests Policy and with the Judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.</p> <p>It is further stated on behalf of the state that the state has taken a policy decision that no private contractor would be permitted to work in the forest area and entire work would be executed by the State Government or its Department directly. In view of the statement above nothing survives in this application.</p>

Consequently, the Review Application No. 11 of 2013 in Original Application No. 281 of 2013 is disposed of accordingly without any order as to cost.

In view of the above the state is directed to take further decision on the grant of works for felling of trees in forest area to private contractors as per their policy and in accordance with the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Consequently, all the Miscellaneous Applications - M.A. No. 165 of 2014, M.A. No. 167 of 2014 and M.A. No. 09 of 2015 are disposed of accordingly.

In view of the above, other Original Application No. 152 of 2014 does not survive for consideration and is dismissed accordingly.

.....,CP
(Swatanter Kumar)

.....,JM
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,EM
(Dr. D.K. Agrawal)

.....,EM
(B.S. Sajwan)