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Abstract

Over the past century, socioenvironmental evolution (eg, reduced pathogenic load, decreased physical activity, and improved nutrition) led to cumulative increments in maternal energy resources (ie, body mass and adiposity) and decrements in energy expenditure and metabolic control. These decrements reduced the competition between maternal and fetal energy demands and increased the availability of energy substrates to the intrauterine milieu. This perturbation of mother-conceptus energy partitioning stimulated fetal pancreatic β-cell and adipocyte hyperplasia, thereby inducing an enduring competitive dominance of adipocytes over other tissues in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy via intensified insulin secretion and hyperplastic adiposity. At menarche, the competitive dominance of adipocytes was further amplified via hormone-induced adipocyte hyperplasia and weight-induced decrements in physical activity. These metabolic and behavioral effects were propagated progressively when obese, inactive, metabolically compromised women produced progressively larger, more inactive, metabolically compromised children. Consequently, the evolution of human energy metabolism was markedly altered. This phenotypic evolution was exacerbated by increments in the use of cesarean sections, which allowed both the larger fetuses and the metabolically compromised mothers who produced them to survive and reproduce. Thus, natural selection was iatrogenically rendered artificial selection, and the frequency of obese, inactive, metabolically compromised phenotypes increased in the global population. By the late 20th century, a metabolic tipping point was reached at which the postprandial insulin response was so intense, the relative number of adipocytes so large, and inactivity so pervasive that the competitive dominance of adipocytes in the sequestering of nutrient energy was inevitable and obesity was unavoidable.

The purpose of this article was to provide a reinterpretation and synthesis of existing empirical evidence in support of a novel theory of the etiology of the childhood obesity epidemic. The foundational theses are as follows: (1) obesity is the consequence of the competitive dominance of adipocytes over other cell types in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy, and (2) the childhood obesity epidemic is the result of nongenetic evolutionary processes altering the interplay between maternal energy resources (eg, body mass and adiposity), maternal patterns of physical activity (PA), and the ensuing metabolic sequelae of pregnancy that affect subsequent fetal outcomes.

OVERVIEW

The current gene-centric paradigm of inheritance and evolution has limited explanatory or predictive power with respect to the ubiquity, rapidity, and unidirectional nature of the dramatic increase in the prevalence of obesity and other notable phenotypic changes exhibited by infants and children over the past century (eg, increased height and head circumference, body mass, and precocious menarche). Although it may be true that “nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution,” for most of the 20th century, nongenetic vectors of inheritance and the evolutionary consequences of developmental dynamics leading to novel phenotypes were largely ignored. This a priori constraint on heritability and evolution has no empirical or theoretical foundation; however, because theory affects research, clinical practice, and public health policy, the exclusion of nongenetic pathways for the intergenerational transmission of obesity and high-risk phenotypes has been unproductive.
As noted by Harris (1904) more than 100 years ago, “Natural selection may explain the survival of the fittest, but it cannot explain the arrival of the fittest.” Given the heterogeneity of environments into which an organism may be born and the fact that phenotype-environment interactions are the substrate upon which natural selection acts, evolutionary fitness (ie, enhanced survival and reproduction) necessitates mechanisms by which the salient environmental exposures that generated the (successful) phenotype of the mother are translated to the offspring (ie, the ‘arrival of the fittest’). Because considerable environmental changes commonly occur from one generation to the next, adaptive phenotypes will not necessarily be generated via genetic inheritance. As such, I assert that the “missing heritability” in the rapid phenotypic changes exhibited over the past century (ie, inheritance not explained via genecentric paradigms) will not be found in the genome, and propose a novel conceptualization of inheritance in which nongenetic vectors of evolution (ie, maternal effects [ME] and socioenvironmental and phenotypic evolution [PE]) are the predominant causal elements in the recent rise in the prevalence of childhood obesity.

Conceptual Foundation
In this article, I provide a reinterpretation and synthesis of existing evidence to support a novel theory of inheritance and the evolution of the childhood obesity epidemic: the maternal resources hypothesis (MRH). Stated simply, the MRH posits that the childhood obesity epidemic is the result of nongenetic evolutionary processes over the past century, leading to a metabolic tipping point in human energy metabolism at which adipocytes (ie, fat cells) outcompete other cell types in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy. This competitive dominance was established and is maintained by the confluence of excess maternal resources (eg, body mass and adiposity) and inactivity-induced decrements in metabolic control during pregnancy. Given the continuum of lethal metabolic dysfunction induced via the confluence of maternal resources, inactivity, and sedentarism, I posit that the most inactive and obese familial lines have evolved beyond this metabolic tipping point (eg, non-Hispanic blacks and Pima Amerindians). For most individuals in these groups, increasing obesity and metabolic dysfunction are inevitable without significant preconception and prenatal intervention.

For this novel conceptualization of inheritance, evolution, and the etiology of obesity, there are a number of essential, interrelated, and empirically supported arguments. First, all living cells compete for nutrient energy, and the strategies used for the acquisition, storage, and use of nutrient energy vary across cell types and contexts. Thus, if obesity is defined as an excessive storage of energy as lipid in adipocytes, then it can logically be viewed as a result of the competitive dominance of adipocytes over other cells, tissues, and organs in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient-energy resources. Second, the recent competitive dominance of adipocytes in children (ie, the childhood obesity epidemic) was established and is maintained and/or exacerbated by 3 parallel, reciprocal evolutionary processes: ME, PE, and SEE (socioenvironmental evolution).

Operational Definitions
The Table provides operational definitions for the key terms used in this article. The definitions are broad and encompass the multidimensional nature and interdisciplinary structure of my hypotheses, which link nongenetic evolutionary processes and observed epidemiological trends in maternal phenotype to the physiological mechanisms driving the childhood obesity epidemic. Throughout this article, the term evolution is used broadly and refers to progressive, unidirectional changes over time in the variable under examination. This definition subsumes changes in inherited characteristics over successive generations (ie, descent with modification) and more restricted uses (eg, changes in allele frequencies). This use is inclusive of the inheritance of both biological and nonbiological (ie, abiotic) characteristics (eg, an impoverished postnatal environment).

BACKGROUND FOR KEY CONCEPTS

Maternal Effects
Maternal effects are nongenetic vectors of inheritance (ie, intergenerational transmission) in which maternal phenotype (eg, age, body mass, metabolism, and behavior) and extended
phenotype (eg, environmental modifications) induce rapid, phenotypic alterations in offspring, independent of the genotype. As such, ME represent a mechanism by which the environmental exposures that generated the phenotype of the mother are translated directly (via developmental plasticity) into the phenotype of the offspring. Maternal effects may be induced via direct physiological effects on the fetus in utero, and/or the transmission of behavior from mothers to infants and children via social learning, imitation, and operant and/or classical conditioning. Maternal effects are ubiquitous in nature and contribute to the variation in phenotypes derived from any given genotype. Maternal effects are causal elements in ontogeny and phenotypic plasticity in response to environmental heterogeneity and are of evolutionary significance because they are an essential component in generating the substrate on which natural selection acts (i.e., the phenotype). Within a permissive environment, ME may be cumulative and can produce a progressive acceleration or regression of both phenotypic and genotypic evolution, as well as effects that may be in direct contrast to traits favored by natural selection (i.e., nonadaptive). Maternal effects occur in 2 developmental contexts—the prenatal (i.e., intrauterine) and postnatal environments—and are a major driver of other evolutionary processes—PE and SEE.
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Phenotypic evolution is a unidirectional, progressive alteration in ontogeny that is propagated over multiple successive generations and may be quantified as the change over time in the population mean for the trait under examination (eg, height and obesity). As will be presented in detail in a later section, PE is the change over time in the variable under examination; inclusive of changes in inherited characteristics over successive generations and the inheritance of biological and nonbiological (ie, abiotic) characteristics (eg, environmental resources). The intergenerational transmission of social and biological traits, attributes, characteristics, and/or features. Inheritance may occur via nongenetic (eg physiologic and cultural), epigenetic, and genetic vectors. Maternal effects are ubiquitous in nature and contribute to the variation in phenotypes derived from any given genotype. Maternal effects are causal elements in ontogeny and phenotypic plasticity in response to environmental heterogeneity and are of evolutionary significance because they are an essential component in generating the substrate on which natural selection acts (i.e., the phenotype). Within a permissive environment, ME may be cumulative and can produce a progressive acceleration or regression of both phenotypic and genotypic evolution, as well as effects that may be in direct contrast to traits favored by natural selection (i.e., nonadaptive). Maternal effects occur in 2 developmental contexts—the prenatal (i.e., intrauterine) and postnatal environments—and are a major driver of other evolutionary processes—PE and SEE.
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(eg, inactivity and sedentarism). Because natural selection acts directly at the level of the phenotype, PE has direct evolutionary consequences and may be induced via genetic, epigenetic, or nongenetic pathways of inheritance.32

Socioenvironmental Evolution
Socioenvironmental evolution is a progression of social and/or cultural practices that significantly alters behavior and/or the physical environments in which humans exist.21,22 It has been posited that SEE can be measured by a population’s “ability to utilize energy for human advancement or needs.”46 Socioenvironmental evolution occurs in multiple contexts such as social practices (eg, health care) or changes in the physical environment (eg, sanitation, food supply, labor and time-saving technologies, heating, and air conditioning). Socioenvironmental evolution may be considered both a process and a product of numerous factors including both technological innovation21 and social learning and imitation (eg, memes).47 Because SEE may affect the development of a phenotype and substantially alter the environmental context and subsequent phenotype-environment interactions, it has direct evolutionary consequences. In social species, conspecifics and the environmental context may have a greater impact on an individual’s survival than on his or her genetic inheritance. Socioenvironmental evolution, PE, and ME can have reciprocal relationships as phenotype-environment interactions drive developmental dynamics, which, in turn, drive the evolution of social and environmental milieus. Figure 1 is a conceptual depiction of the MRH.

THE MATERNAL RESOURCES HYPOTHESIS
The Recent Evolution of Human Energy Metabolism
Human metabolic, cardiovascular, and musculoskeletal systems evolved in environments in which survival necessitated prodigious amounts of physical exertion and high levels of energy expenditure (EE).48 Evading predators, the hunting and gathering of food, and the literal “chopping wood and carrying water” of daily existence provided a wholesome dose of PA that obviated the need for deliberate exercise.49 Nevertheless, over the past few centuries, humans have become extremely adept at altering the environments in which they exist, and the evolution of their physical, social, and cultural milieus (ie, SEE) has proceeded much more rapidly than has genetic evolution.22 Socioenvironmental evolution has altered the evolution of human energy metabolism by inducing substantial decrements in EE imposed by daily life50 while improving both the quality and the quantity of nutrient-energy availability.31 For example, as thermoneutral environments became ubiquitous,32 the energy cost of thermoregulation declined, and improved sanitation (eg, clean water and safer food)23 and vaccinations54 decreased the energy cost of supporting parasites (eg, fleas)53 and resisting pathogens (eg, communicable diseases and diarrheal infections).56 Together, these changes not only decreased EE but also dramatically curtailed periods of low-energy consumption via reductions in both illness-induced hypophagia and declines in appetite from elevated ambient temperatures.57

By gradually reducing the energy costs of survival and increasing nutrient-energy availability,53 SEE increased the energy available for development, growth, and reproduction. The positive energy balance facilitated by SEE led to the evolution of many human characteristics (ie, PE). For example, improvements in health and nutrition over the past century have led to progressive and cumulative increases in height,1 body stature and mass,58 birthweight,59-61 organ mass,2,62 head circumference,3,63 and fat mass/adiposity.64 In concert with these increments has been a progressive global decline in the age at which adolescents attain sexual maturity, with breast development (ie, thelarche) and menses (ie, menarche) in girls and testicular development in boys beginning a year earlier in many populations.4 This PE has been ubiquitous and significant. A recent examination of the validity of the 1975 “Reference Man”65 for determining the safety of medication doses and occupational radiation exposure found that men and women in 2010 were heavier, taller, and had more fat and skeletal muscle (SM) mass and larger organ masses.66 Given that reproductive capacity is an essential facet of evolution, and in humans reproduction cannot occur without sufficient maternal resources (ie, body mass and...
FIGURE 1. Conceptual depiction of the maternal resources hypothesis.
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Note: The figure illustrates a positive feedback loop involving various factors and outcomes, leading to the obesity pandemic.
adiposity), these alterations in the phenotype have nongenetic evolutionary consequences (ie, they alter survival and reproductive success independent of changes in gene or allele frequency). Logically, these results are representative of PE because each of the aforementioned characteristics developed with a progressive, unidirectional linearity that was transmitted to successive generations. For example, from 1900 to 2000, the median height for Japanese boys and girls increased by 20 and 19 cm at the age of 13 and 11, respectively. These changes were neither mere developmental plasticity nor acute adaptations to improved nutrition and/or decreased EE via reductions in pathogen load. These changes in the phenotype were indicative of a gradual, progressive, and enduring intergenerational transmission of greater stature over many generations that was robust to acute variations in environmental influences (eg, food shortages).

The Late 20th Century and Increments in Maternal Resources

Until the middle of the 20th century, SEE and PE were adaptive, given that in most species, mothers with greater energy resources (ie, physiological or environmental) beget more robust offspring, and it is well established that human mothers with adequate or ample physiological and environmental resources produce healthier, more robust infants and children than do women with fewer resources. Nevertheless, I posit that as the century drew to a close, sustained SEE and PE began driving ME that led to the childhood obesity epidemic.

By the late 20th century, humans in industrialized nations were immersed in environments explicitly engineered to reduce manual labor, increase physical comfort (eg, the ubiquity of chairs and thermoneutral environments), and afford passive entertainment. As a result, physical inactivity and sedentary pastimes (eg, Web surfing and television viewing) became both ubiquitous features of the post—industrial world and leading global risk factors for mortality and morbidity. Importantly, the confluence of passive transportation, spectator-based entertainment, and decrements in occupational and household PA led to significant declines in PA energy expenditure (PAEE) and increments in sedentary behaviors in children, women, and mothers. From the 1960s to 2010, estimated maternal household PAEE decreased approximately 1200 to 1500 kcal/wk as the time spent in sedentary leisure (eg, watching TV) increased to more than 2.5 h/d. Most pregnant women currently spend more than 50% of their waking hours in sedentary behavior, and more than 15% of pregnant women spend more than 5 h/d in leisure-time screen-based media use. Recent work suggests that by the 1990s, women and mothers allocated more time to screen-based media use (eg, watching TV) than to all forms of PA combined. In concert with progressive increments in sedentarism, inactivity, and PAEE were progressive decrements in population-level metabolic control and substantial increases in maternal pregravid obesity, gestational weight gain, and gestational diabetes.

The Necessity of PA for Metabolic Health

Skeletal muscle activation via PA is an absolute requirement for metabolic health. Therefore, as mothers spent more time in sedentary behavior and the intensity, frequency, and volume of maternal PA decreased, there were marked reductions in SM activation and energy flux. Because SM is the principal tissue for both insulin-mediated glucose disposal and fatty acid oxidation and an essential element of energy metabolism, progressive reductions in maternal PA and PAEE over the past century would result in progressive decrements in metabolic, glycemmic, and lipidemic control. This loss of metabolic control led to both transient hyperglycemia (ie, glycemic excursions) and hyperlipidemia, the former driven by reductions in insulin signaling resulting from replete myocyte glycogen stores, and the latter from reduced SM energy demands and consequent decrements in total fatty acid oxidation, increments in hepatic and adipocyte de novo lipogenesis, and lipid accumulation in adipose tissue.

The ME of Inactivity and Insulin Resistance

Although inactivity has dire effects on human energy metabolism and health, given the recent SEE and PE, it is substantially more pathologic to pregnant women and their fetuses. Human pregnancy is characterized by...
numerous metabolic changes that promote the accretion of adipose tissue in concert with impaired insulin sensitivity and insulin resistance.101 As explained previously, SM is the principal tissue for glucose disposal, and normal pregnancies will exhibit a hormone-induced 40% to 60% reduction in insulin-mediated glucose disposal.102 This decrement in insulin sensitivity drives a 200% to 300% increase in insulin secretion to maintain maternal glycemic control.102 I posit that progressive reductions in maternal PA and PAEE and consequent reductions in SM activation over the past half-century act synergistically with the naturally occurring metabolic sequelae of pregnancy (ie, hormone-induced insulin resistance and increased adiposity) to exacerbate the negative metabolic consequences of inactivity29,30,99,100 and drive fetal abnormalities. The reductions in insulin sensitivity and increments in transient hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia90 substantially increase the availability of energy substrates to the intrauterine environment. Because the human placenta evolved in a context of intense competition between maternal resources and fetal demands (ie, low to moderate maternal body mass and adiposity in concert with moderate to high levels of maternal EE, PA, and PAEE103-105), the current context of high maternal resources in combination with low PA represents an evolutionary mismatch. Given that the partitioning of nutrient energy between the mother and the conceptus is a major determinant of fetal outcomes,106 the perturbation of the intrauterine milieu via the mismatch of increased maternal metabolic resources (eg, body mass and adiposity) and inactivity-driven decrements in PAEE has significant metabolic consequences for the offspring.107

Excess intrauterine energy substrates stimulate the hypertrophy and hyperplasia of both pancreatic β cells13,108-112 and adipocytes,113-117 up-regulate fetal fatty acid and glucose transporters,116 increase the direct free fatty acid uptake and storage as triglyceride in fetal adipocytes,118,119 alter myogenesis and increase collagen accumulation and cross-linking in fetal SM,120,121 and increase the expression of enzymes mediating de novo lipogenesis.116 These points are critical. First, fetal adipose de novo fatty acid synthesis is a primary mechanism for the accumulation of lipid in fetal adipocytes.122 Second, maternal glucose is the major substrate for fetal lipogenesis, is highly correlated with newborn body fat,123 and is a predictor of the fat mass of prepubertal offspring.113 In the third trimester, maternal PA will be at its lowest point,124,125 and, therefore, maternal glycemic control will be at its nadir. Consequently, fetal lipogenesis and adipocyte hyperplasia will be maximized as compared with metabolically healthy (eg, lean and active) mothers because of a number of processes. First, maternal hyperglycemic excursions will drive fetal hyperglycemia, which, in turn, results in fetal hyperinsulinemia (via enhanced ß-cell mass and function) and drives growth factors that result in excessive fetal growth and adiposity.126-129 Second, maternal inactivity decreases maternal SM fatty acid oxidation and consequently promotes lipid transfer to the fetus by increasing the maternal-to-fetal fatty acid concentration gradient.114

Given the strong inverse relationship between the oxidation of dietary fat in SM and obesity (ie, obese individuals partition more fatty acids to storage as lipid in adipocytes, whereas lean individuals oxidize a greater relative amount130), the cumulative effect of alterations in fetal myogenesis and impaired SM morphology in concert with a greater number of adipocytes and increased pancreatic β-cell function (ie, enhanced insulin secretion) produce metabolically compromised infants predisposed to lifelong inactivity, metabolic dysfunction, and obesity owing to the competitive dominance of adipocytes in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy.

In addition, although SEE led to large and significant decrements in maternal activity and glycemic control, it led to substantial declines in maternal smoking.131 Unfortunately, despite the maternal and fetal health benefits associated with reductions in tobacco use, the mild fetal hypoxia induced via smoking132 may have played a role in delaying the negative effects of inactivity on maternal glycemic control and consequent mother-conceptus energy partitioning by altering fetal glucose transporter regulation133 and growth.134

Figures 2 and 3 depict the hypothesized consequences of the perturbation of maternal-conceptus energy partitioning and fetal outcomes.
The Counterfactual Support for the MRH

The aforementioned results are in direct contrast to those obtained for women in nonindustrialized nations who have not experienced similar SEE and PE over the past century. These women have relatively high levels of PA in concert with low energy resources (ie, low body mass, adiposity, and nutrient-energy intake). Given that the evolutionary forces that induced increments in maternal energy resources and decrements in PA are not present, the net result is a decrease in the energy available to the intrauterine milieu. In the absence of maternal resources to buffer fetal demands, the competition between fetal energy requirements and maternal energy needs results in intrauterine growth restriction and associated pathologies. In congruence with the thrifty phenotype (ie, Barker) hypothesis, the MRH posits that in the context of high levels of PA and low nutrient-energy intake, maternal myocytes and other metabolically active tissues (eg, organs) outcompete both maternal adipocytes and fetal tissues for nutrient energy. This results in the loss of maternal body mass and permanently alters fetal development and consequent energy metabolism while predisposing offspring to chronic noncommunicable diseases (eg, type 2 diabetes mellitus [T2DM] and cardiovascular disease [CVD]) when the postnatal environment permits low levels of PA in combination with adequate nutrition. Figure 4 depicts fetal outcomes as maternal resources and PA vary.

The MRH and the extant evidence suggest a continuum of metabolic control and mother-conceptus energy partitioning with both restricted and excess maternal resources, pathologically altering the metabolic health of offspring. As such, the ideas presented herein subsume and extend both the Barker and Pedersen hypotheses and offer a nongenetic mechanism for the intergenerational transmission of obese and other high-risk phenotypes. Stated simply, the MRH posits that the risk of obesity, T2DM, and CVD is propagated progressively via the interplay between maternal energy resources, maternal patterns of PA, and the ensuing metabolic sequelae of pregnancy.

Postnatal ME

The intergenerational transmission of behavior is well accepted in social animals such as humans. Because the primary ecological niche of an infant is the social environment that caregivers create, the processes of postnatal ME provide nongenetic mechanisms by which the environmental exposures generated by the
behavioral phenotype of the mother (or caregiver) directly alters the behavioral phenotype of infants and children. Numerous potential mechanisms have been posited, including social learning and modeling (ie, observational, operant, and/or classical conditioning).37-40,142-144 It is well established that a mother’s TV viewing behavior affects her progeny’s TV behavior37; therefore, as with the intergenerational transmission of smoking behavior,143 children who grow up with an inactive, sedentary caregiver may be more likely to be sedentary, inactive, and obese as adults.142,145 For example, if a woman develops the habit of breast-feeding while watching TV, her infant may associate the sights and sounds of the TV with feeding behavior. Given that maternal attention and feeding are powerful reinforcers,146 the process of classical conditioning may (metaphorically speaking) turn the TV into Pavlov’s dinner bell.144 The conjoined behaviors of feeding and TV viewing will be continuously reinforced when TV and food are used to control infant behavior (ie, used as a babysitter).14,69

This conceptualization of the intergenerational transmission of inactivity and sedentary behavior is supported by research reporting strong relationships between mother-daughter body mass index and obesogenic behaviors (eg, eating in front of the TV).147 Maternal TV viewing and obesity are associated with greater infant TV exposure,145 with infants as young as 3 months old exposed to an average of more than 2.5 hours of TV and/or videos daily and nearly 40% of infants exposed to more than 3 hours of TV daily before the age of 12 months.145 Having a TV in the bedroom is one of the most powerful predictors of childhood obesity,148 and large-scale epidemiological studies have found that one of the strongest determinants of obesity and cardiometabolic risk factors in later life was TV viewing in early life.149 In addition to the metabolic effects of postnatal ME, there are cognitive effects. TV viewing before the age of 3 is associated with cognitive delays, decrements in language development, attention issues, and sleep disorders.150

**Screen-Based Media as a Caregiver (ie, TV as a Babysitter)**

I posit that current obese phenotypes are predisposed at birth via prenatal ME and that these predispositions are permanently entrenched by the infant’s and child’s early social environments. Over the past 50 years, the use of screen-based media has increased considerably,151 and by the late 1990s, mothers and children were spending the vast majority of their leisure time watching TV.67,151 Screen-based media (eg, TV) is often used as a surrogate caregiver (ie, “babysitter”)69 for precisely the same reason that it is detrimental to infants and children: it captures their attention and keeps them relatively immobile. In a non-media-enhanced world, the child will stimulate his or her nervous system via movement and “exploration” facilitated by the activation of SM. Because osteocytes, myocytes, and adipocytes share a common pool of progenitor cells, reduced PA leads to a reduction in the physiological resources (eg, muscle development, strength, and coordination) necessary for lifelong PA, and every kilocalorie of energy that is not used to build muscle and bone may be used to further increase adipocyte size and/or number.87,88,152 As such, the predisposition to obesity would be instantiated via accelerated hyperplastic adiposity, inactivity, decrements in the physiological resources necessary for movement (eg, strength and coordination),
and the initiation of a positive feedback loop that negatively alters health trajectories over successive generations via mother-daughter transmission.

**IATROGENIC ARTIFICIAL SELECTION**
The excessive fetal growth induced via evolutionary processes has resulted in larger and fatter infants over the past few generations (eg, increased neonatal organ mass, head circumference, fat mass, and birthweight). Because the evolution of infant head circumference has progressed more quickly than the evolution of the birth canal, the prevalence of dystocia-related cesarean sections (ie, surgically assisted births) has increased substantially. This SEE (ie, progression of medical technology and practice) allowed both larger fetuses and the mothers who produced them to survive and reproduce, thereby increasing the frequency of metabolically compromised, obese phenotypes in the global population. As such, “natural selection” was iatrogenically and unintentionally rendered “artificial selection.” The artificial selection of metabolically compromised infants is clearly supported by numerous facts: familial line is a major predictor of both dystocia and cesarean birth; childhood obesity has a strong relationship with cesarean birth, and, most importantly, the frequency of cesarean births is greatest in the population that is most inactive, sedentary, and obese (ie, non-Hispanic black) and has had the largest increments in TV viewing over the past 50 years.

**METABOLIC TIPPING POINT**
The greatest declines in maternal activity (via our data) occurred from the 1960s to the 1970s, although prior research suggests that the declines began earlier. This suggests that the female children of the increasingly inactive mothers of the 1950s through the 1970s would themselves be having metabolically compromised children and grandchildren 20 to 50 years later (ie, from the early 1970s to late 2000s). As these metabolically compromised children matured and transitioned through puberty, adipocyte number and mass were further exacerbated via the hormonal milieu and obesogenic environment (eg, inactive caregivers producing inactive children and adolescents). When these women reproduced, the anatomic, physiologic, metabolic, and behavioral trajectories induced by the previous generation’s phenotype (ie, the ME) were propagated progressively as the ontogeny of their offspring was initiated at a point further along the continuum of phenotypic plasticity (ie, advanced baseline). This evolutionary process of accumulative ME was facilitated by medicalized childbirth and led to anatomic, physiologic, metabolic, and behavioral tipping points that ensured an escalating competitive dominance of adipocytes in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy in many human subpopulations (eg, African Americans). Within a few generations, the postprandial insulin response was so intense (via enhanced β-cell mass and function and inactivity-induced insulin resistance), the relative number of adipocytes so large, and inactivity so pervasive that the competitive dominance of adipocytes in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy was inevitable and obesity was unavoidable.

**CONSEQUENCES OF THE MRH FOR OBESITY RESEARCH**
Most obesity research is based on the conceptual framework of energy balance derived from the first law of thermodynamics. The fundamental a priori assumption is that relative imbalances between nutrient-energy consumption and EE cause the excessive storage and sequestering of energy as lipid in adipocytes. This paradigm assumes a temporality that has no empirical foundation and merely provides a valid description of the increase in the storage and sequestering of energy (ie, an analytic truth). As such, these paradigms offer no insight into the causal mechanisms or the temporal nature of the increase. I argue that because all tissues compete for energy, obesity is the result of adipocytes outcompeting other cells, tissues, and organs in postprandial periods. The initial trajectory that engenders this competitive dominance of adipocytes (and consequent obesity) is initiated in utero because of ME induced via reduced metabolic control, leading to the confluence of an intensified insulin response (via enhanced β-cell mass and function), decreased fatty acid oxidation via decrements in myogenesis and myocyte morphology, and the law of mass action (ie, a larger relative number of fat cells disposing of a larger percentage of energy intake).
This conceptualization is strongly supported by extant research, given that increments in fat mass are a function of adiposity, adipocyte number is a primary determinant of obesity, and early development is a major determinant of adipocyte number. As such, the infant born to an inactive mother would be metabolically compromised via the confluence of the prenatal ME (eg, adipocyte hyperplasia and reduced myogenesis) and the postnatal ME (eg, learned inactivity). This hypothesis is strongly supported by the facts that the adipose tissue of young obese children differs both qualitatively and quantitatively from the adipose tissue of lean children and that adipocyte number increases throughout early development. In addition, monozygotic twins concordant for birthweight exhibit similar adipocyte numbers, whereas in those discordant for birthweight, the smaller twin displays both lower body weight and adipocyte number. I posit that these results suggest an in utero “training effect” in which the chronic partitioning of energy to storage in adipose tissue induces numerous metabolic sequelae that lead to obesity via adipogenic nutrient partitioning and an exacerbated recruitment and differentiation of mesenchymal cells to mature adipocytes.

Importantly, the increase in the storage and sequestering of nutrient energy in adipocytes reduces the substrates and metabolic stimuli that inhibit hunger and appetitive processes (eg, adenosine triphosphate/adenosine diphosphate ratio, hepatic energy flux, and glucose and fatty acid oxidation). As such, this sequestration engenders a perception of fatigue (and consequent inactivity and inactivity-induced decrements in metabolic control), depression, decreased energy, and an accelerated development of hunger and consequent shorter intermeal interval and/or increased energy density per meal. These phenomena result in a positive feedback loop that leads to excessive food and beverage consumption, which exacerbates the vicious cycle of adipogenic nutrient-energy partitioning, increasing adiposity, decreased metabolic control, and obesity.

Logically, people do not develop excessive adiposity simply by being in positive energy balance; if this were true, the increase in muscle mass and parallel decrease in relative body fat as exhibited by bodybuilders would be impossible. As such, the genesis of obesity is predicated on a greater allocation, storage, and sequestering of lipid in adipocytes as a function of adipocyte number, pancreatic β-cell function (ie, insulin secretion), and SM energy metabolism (ie, glucose and fatty acid oxidation and glycogen synthesis).

Obesity as an Inherited, Chronic Condition

The MRH suggests that the energy metabolism of affected individuals is permanently altered in utero, and strategies such as reductions in energy intake (ie, “dieting”) and other energy manipulations (eg, exercise) will be offset, not by a regulatory mechanism per se, but by the fact that the nature of the nutrient-energy partitioning will not be altered via the loss of lipid content in adipocytes or an increase in fatty acid oxidation by other tissues. Because it can be assumed that human energy metabolism evolved under intense selective pressures, it will be robust to acute perturbations. In other words, as long as the predisposing metabolic impairments exist, the individual will continue to store a greater amount of energy as lipid in adipocytes than does an individual with normal SM metabolism, pancreatic β-cell function, and adipocyte number. Hence, for most individuals, obesity is a chronic condition of adipocyte dominance in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy that cannot be “cured” via “moving more and eating less.”

Practical Implications of the MRH

Given the breadth, scope, and strength of the evidence that supports the MRH, there are a number of practical implications. First, the acknowledgment that obesity is the result of nongenetic evolutionary forces and not gluttony and sloth may help to alter the moralizing and demoralizing social and scientific discourse that pervades both public and clinical settings. Second, the conceptual framework of tissues competing for nutrient-energy substrates has consequences for both the research community and clinicians. Future research may be most productive if funding is directed away from naive examinations of energy balance per se and redirected to investigations of interventions that alter the competitive...
strategies of various tissues. From the standpoint of the clinician, accurate patient phenotyping (inclusive of family obstetric history and metabolic profiling) may allow the targeting of women most likely to be a part of populations that have evolved beyond the metabolic tipping point and therefore require significant preconception intervention.

SUMMARY OF THE MRH
The MRH posits that the childhood obesity epidemic is the result of the evolutionary processes of ME, PE, and SEE, leading to a metabolic tipping point in human energy metabolism at which adipocytes outcompete other cell types in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy. The recent competitive dominance of adipocytes was achieved via the confluence of multiple evolutionary processes. Over the past century, SEE and PE facilitated increments in maternal resources (eg, body mass and adiposity), inactivity, and sedentarism that induced decrements in maternal metabolic control (eg, insulin sensitivity). This PE pathologically increased the energy substrates available to fetuses, causing mothers to produce progressively larger, fatter, more inactive, and consequently more metabolically compromised and less physically fit offspring predisposed to chronic noncommunicable diseases. Increments in the use of cesarean sections allowed the frequency of metabolically compromised female offspring in the population to increase. When these women reproduced, the ME of hyperplastic adiposity, intensified pancreatic β-cell function, altered SM myogenesis, and inactivity were progressively propagated to successive generations, thereby making obesity inevitable in many human familial lines. The consequences of the MRH suggest that recent evolutionary trends have not been adaptive and that the evolutionary fitness (ie, survival and reproduction) of some human familial lines is in decline.

CONCLUSION
The MRH posits that obesity is the result of the competitive dominance of adipocytes over other tissues in the acquisition and sequestering of nutrient energy and that the current population-wide dominance of adipocytes (ie, the childhood obesity epidemic) is the result of nongenetic evolutionary processes altering the interplay between maternal energy resources, maternal patterns of PA, and the ensuing metabolic sequelae of pregnancy over multiple generations. Given that maternal metabolic control is a strong determinant of fetal metabolic outcomes and health (eg, risk of obesity, T2DM, and CVD), the health and well-being of future generations depend on policies and preconception interventions that can ameliorate the effects of more than a century of nongenetic evolutionary processes and overcome the current competitive dominance of adipocytes.
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