BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 108/2013 (M.A. No. 489/2015)

Original Application No. 179/2013 (M.A. No. 866/2014 & M.A. NO. 644/2015)

Appeal No. 67/2015

(M.A. NO. 652/2015)

Legal Aid, National Green Tribunal Bar Association

Vs.

NCT of Delhi &Ors.

&.

Raj Hans Bansal Vs. Ministry of Water Resources &Ors.

Apex Chambers of Commerce and Industries of N.C.T. of Delhi &Ors.

Govt. of NCT Delhi &Ors.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

In Original Application No. 108/2013 (M.A. No. 489/2015):

Applicant/Appellant(s) **Present:**

Respondent No.1 :Mr. V.K. Tadon, Adv. **CGWA** :Mr.B.V. Niren, Adv

Respondent No. 2 : Mr. A.K. Prasad, Ms. Priyanka, Mr. Jigdal,

advs.

Respondent No. 3 : Ms. Sakshi, Ms. Akansha, Adv.

Respondent No. 4 : Mr. Sudhir, adv.

Respondent No. 8 : Mr. Sunil, ashok, advs.

State of Haryana : Mr. Anil Grover, AAG with Mr. Rahul

Khurana, Adv.

In Original Application No. 179/2013 (M.A. No. 866/2014 & M.A. NO. 644/2015):

Present: Applicant/Appellant(s)

> Respondent No.2 :Ms. P. Batra Singh, Adv. Respondent No. 1 :Mr. V.K. Tandon, ADV.

Respondent No. 7 : Mr. narender Pal Singh, Mr. Dinesh, Advs.

DSIIDC : Mr. Vikas, Adv.

DMRC : Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Mr. Sudipto, Ms. Ankita ADV.

Apex Chamber of Commerce: Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Adv.

NDMC : Ms. Sakshi, Ms. Kanika Mittal, ADVS.

In Appeal No. 67/2015 (M.A. NO. 652/2015):

Present: Applicant/Appellant(s) :Mr. Pinaki Mishra, Sr. Adv. withMr. Pradeep

Kumar, Advs.

Respondent No. 1to3 :Mr. Narender Pal Singh, Adv. Mr. Dinesh

Jindal.

Law Officer

Respondent No. 4 :Mr. A. Kr. Prasad, Adv., Ms. Priyanka, Mr.

Jigdal, Advs.

Respondent No. 5 :Mr. Vivek Kr. Tandon, Adv.

:Ms. Ranjana Roy, Ms. Sonakshi, Advs. Respondent No. 6

Respondent No. 9 :Mr. Sudhir Kr., Adv. Respondent No. 8 :Mr. Moni Chinmay, Adv. North MCD :Ms. Puja Kalra, Adv

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item Nos. 10 to 12 October 28, 2015	Heard. Perused.

The Learned counsel appearing before us submit that the copies of the affidavit dated 27th October, 2015 of Chief Engineer, DJB have been furnished to them. One copy of the affidavit is made available to us. It discloses that the charges for the ground water extraction have already been fixed by DJB and the Delhi Government has issued requisite instructions to all Dy. Commissioners Revenue, ADM's & SDM's not to seal the bore-wells, which have been voluntarily disclosed, as per the recommendations of the committee constituted in compliance of the directions of Hon'ble NGT in O. A. No. 108 of 2013 dated 03.09.2013, and the issue of extent of ground water to be drawn in given area falls in the purview of CGWA. According to the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Applicant, the instructions not to seal the bore-wells having been by the Delhi Government issued per the recommendations of the Committee of which the DPCC is a member outweigh the directions issued to the DJB to disconnect the water supply connection to the units vide impugned order dated 18th June, 2015 and, therefore, hardly anything survives in the present case.

It is true that copies of the affidavit have been supplied to the parties by the officer of the DJB today. However, the affidavit is yet to be placed on record. The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the DJB is not before us for medical reasons. We, therefore, need not act upon this affidavit at this stage.

The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the DPCC is seeking time to take instructions as to the implications of the said affidavit on the directions which

appear in the impugned order.
List this case on 07.12.2015. Interim orders to
continue.
,JM (U.D. Salvi)
,EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)