## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Review Application No. 03 of 2016 In Original Application No. 333 of 2014

## **IN THE MATTER OF:-**

Shri Bhawani Paper Mills Ltd. Raebareli Vs. Krishan Kant Singh & Anr.

**CORAM:** HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. D. K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Applicant: Present: Mr. Avi Tondon, Adv. and Mr. Satyam Thareja,

Advs.

Respondent No. 2: Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Kumar

Dhyani, Adv.

Ms. Alpana Poddar, Adv. with Mr. Bhupender Kumar, LA, Central Pollution Control Board Respondent No. 3:

Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv. for CGWA

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Item No.	
01	We have heard the Learned Counsel appearing for
April 05, 2016	t <mark>he partie</mark> s. We see no reason to review our judgment
	dated 10 <sup>th</sup> December, 2015. The present application in
1,11,2	any case is beyond the preview and scope of the order 47
1 1 3	Rule 1 read with Section 151 of CPC and Section 19 (4) (f)
200	of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 Act, 2010.
-	The only emphasis placed is that the applicant
	industry is not a Tannery industry but is a Paper Mill,
	obviously it may not be using chromium but the paper
	mill is a very seriously polluting industry. The judgment
	dealt with these types of polluting industries. However, in
	the facts and circumstances of the case we direct that if
	an application for consent to operate is filed by the
	applicant industry, the same would be considered and
	disposed of by the Respondent in accordance with law.
	The joint inspection team shall conduct an inspection of

(B.S. Sajwan)