BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 239 of 2015

Suo Motu Uttarakhand Human Rights Commission Vs.

Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Uttarakhand & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Appilcant:

Respondent No. 1: Mrs. D. Bharthi Reddy, Adv. For Uttarakhand Respondent No. 2: Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha

Advs.

Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv. For MoWR

Mr. Divya Prakash Pande, Adv. for MoEF Mr. I. K. Kapila, Adv. for UK Jal Nigam

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No.	Though it was specifically recorded in the order
January 27, 2017	dated 25th November, 2016 that the Status Report has
sn	been filed, despite that the Registry has put the remark
A	'no document filed'.
W Q	The Learned Counsel appearing for Uttarakhand Jal
1 5	Nigam submits that he would file a detailed Affidavit
1	stating the compliance of directions in relation to Ram
	Nagar forming part of Segment-B of Phase-I of the
	Judgment of the Tribunal. He further states that the
	Affidavit will say as to what step was carried out before
	preparing the DPR, such data and statistics will be
	produced before the Tribunal on what is the quality of the
	effluent and the STP sought to be established under the
	DPR, its capacity and the results that it will obtain once
	operated. The funds required and time period for
	collection.
	Let this Affidavit be filed within one week from
	today.

Item No. 02

January 27, 2017

sn

Learned Counsel appearing for the State would take clear instructions in relation to allocation/allotment of the land for the purposes of establishment of both the STPs and Municipal Solid Waste sites.

List this matter for directions on 3rd February, 2017.

(Swatanter Kumar)

"EM (Bikram Singh Sajwan)

